AHA/ASA SCIENTIFIC STATEMENT

ENDOVASCULAR TREATMENT AND THROMBOLYSIS FOR ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-MORBID DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA.

> A SCIENTIFIC STATEMENT FROM THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION/AMERICAN STROKE ASSOCIATION

> > CITATION:

Slide set prepared by Muhammad Rizwan Husain, MD member of the Stroke Council Professional Education Committee



THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF NEUROLOGY AFFIRMS THE VALUE OF THIS STATEMENT AS AN EDUCATIONAL TOOL FOR NEUROLOGISTS ENDORSED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF NEUROLOGICAL SURGEONS/CONGRESS OF NEUROLOGICAL SURGEONS (AANS/CNS) ENDORSED BY THE SOCIETY OF NEUROINTERVENTIONAL SURGERY (SNIS)

American Heart Association

WRITING GROUP MEMBERS ARAVIND GANESH, MD, DPhil, FRCPC; JUSTIN F. FRASER, MD, FAANS, FAHA; GILLIAN L. GORDON PERUE, MBBS, DM; SEPIDEH AMIN-HANJANI, MD, FAHA; THABELE M. LESLIE-MAZWI, MD; STEVEN M. GREENBERG, MD, PhD, FAHA; PHILIPPE COUILLARD, MD, FRCPC; NEGAR ASDAGHI, MD, MSC, FRCPC, FAHA; MAYANK GOYAL, MD, PHD, FRCPC;

ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION STROKE COUNCIL

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

- Patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia have been generally excluded from randomized controlled trials of reperfusion therapies like thrombolysis and endovascular therapy for acute ischemic stroke.
- Consequently, stroke physicians face treatment dilemmas in caring for such patients.
- In this statement, we review the literature on acute ischemic stroke in patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia, and propose principles to guide clinicians, clinician-scientists, and policy-makers regarding the use of acute stroke therapies in these populations.
- Recent clinical-epidemiological studies have demonstrated challenges in our concept and measurement of
 pre-morbid disability or dementia, while highlighting the significant proportion of the general stroke
 population that falls under this umbrella, risking exclusion from therapies.
- Such studies have also helped clarify the adverse long-term clinical and health economic consequences with each increment of additional post-stroke disability in these patients, underscoring the importance of finding strategies to mitigate such additional disability.
- Several observational studies both case series and registry-based studies have helped demonstrate the comparable safety of endovascular therapy in patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia as in those without, complementing similar data on thrombolysis.
- These data also suggest that such patients have a substantial potential to retain their pre-stroke level of disability when treated, despite their generally worse prognosis overall, although this remains to be validated in higher-quality registries and clinical trials.
- By pairing pragmatic and transparent decision-making in clinical practice with an active pursuit of highquality research, we can work towards a more inclusive paradigm of patient-centred care for this oftenneglected patient population.



INTRODUCTION

6

INTRODUCTION

- Acute ischemic stroke is a leading cause of disability worldwide, with 30-40% of survivors developing new post-stroke disability.¹
- Thus, the primary focus of reperfusion therapies is the prevention of stroke-related disability.
- However, many patients presenting with an acute ischemic stroke already have pre-existing disability present prior to their stroke, also known as pre-stroke or pre-morbid disability.
- The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that 15% of the world's population lives with disability; in the United States, 22% of adults report some disability.^{1, 2}
- Whereas, one may reflexively associate the term "disability" with physical disability, disability can also be intellectual or cognitive.
- The most common type of acquired, pre-morbid cognitive disability seen in the setting of ischemic stroke is dementia.
- Observational studies indicate that pre-existing disabilities exist in approximately one-third of ischemic stroke patients,³ while pre-existing dementia is present in approximately one-tenth.⁴
- Unfortunately, there is an absence of definitive evidence for the use of acute stroke therapies like thrombolysis and endovascular therapy (EVT) in these patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia, as they have been conventionally excluded from randomized-controlled trials.^{5, 6}



INTRODUCTION CONTINUED

- In addition, since these treatments cannot restore patients beyond their pre-morbid state, they will, at best, result in the patients living with the same or worse disability.
- Consequently, stroke physicians face treatment dilemmas in caring for such patients.⁷
- Indeed, pre-morbid disability is a common reason for exclusion of patients from thrombolysis in routine practice,⁸ and patients with dementia are less likely to receive thrombolysis or stroke unit care.⁹
- Current guidelines do not provide a framework for addressing this problem.
- European guidelines recommend that patients selected for acute stroke therapies should have a pre-stroke modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score of 0-1, while noting the lack of evidence for patients with mRS ≥2.¹⁰
- The European Stroke Organization's recently updated EVT guidelines again note the uncertain benefit for patients with significant pre-stroke disability, particularly those older than 80-years.¹¹
- American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines state that pre-stroke disability does not seem to increase the risk of post-thrombolysis hemorrhage and that reperfusion therapies may be reasonable in selected cases, but also state that treatment may be associated with less neurological improvement and higher mortality.^{12,}
- Therefore, we aim to review the literature on acute ischemic stroke in patients with pre-morbid disability/dementia, and to propose principles to guide clinicians, clinician-scientists, and policy-makers regarding the use of acute stroke therapies in these populations.
- The literature search strategy is described in the Supplement.



DEFINING THE PROBLEM

DEFINING THE PROBLEM

- To understand the problem, it is critical to define pre-morbid "disability" and "dementia".
- Exclusions of these patients from trials have generally been defined using functional outcome measures;
- For example, the seminal trials of EVT generally excluded patients with modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score ≥ 2 or Barthel Index (BI) score <95.6
- While such definitions seem pragmatic, they do not necessarily capture how disability and dementia manifest in practice.
- Such definitions also vary by the choice of rating scale or the threshold for defining the pre-existing disability within the same scoring tool.^{14, 15}
- The most widely accepted definition of disability comes from the WHO's International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and Handicaps.¹⁶
- Disability here means "any restriction or lack (resulting from an impairment) of ability to perform an activity • in the manner or within the range considered normal for a human being."
- Per this definition, disability derives from impairment, which in turn is defined as "any loss or abnormality of • psychological, physiological or anatomical structure or function."
- Disability may or may not result in handicap, which is defined as "a disadvantage for a given individual that • limits or prevents the fulfillment of a role that is normal."¹⁶
- This is a crucial point that can be easily overlooked when considering the implications of disability in stroke care.



DEFINING THE PROBLEM CONTINUED

- Disability need not inevitably result in handicap.
- Handicap is potentially preventable by means of technological or societal adaptations and accommodations.
- The distinction is important to acknowledge; the modified Rankin Scale (mRS), the most favoured primary outcome measure in acute stroke trials, mixes impairment, disability, and handicap, and also overvalues physical disability relative to cognitive disability.¹⁶
- Dementia, also known as major neurocognitive disorder, is defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition (DSM-5), as evidence of substantial cognitive decline from a previous level of performance in one or more domains, based on the concerns of the patient, a knowledgeable informant, or the clinician, with a decline in neurocognitive performance (typically on formal testing), and resulting in the patient requiring at least some assistance with instrumental activities of daily living.¹⁷
- With the complexity of the definitions above, it is apparent that, in the setting of acute stroke, the identification or evaluation of pre-stroke disability or dementia involves considerable uncertainty, as the quality of available information is almost certainly inadequate to meet these definitions.¹⁸
- Scales like the mRS, originally intended for post-stroke measurement with input from patients and carers,¹⁹
 are often constrained in the acute stroke setting by limited access to reliable informants and by the patient's
 inability to communicate due to their stroke.
- This forces physicians to rely on incomplete or inaccurate proxy reports or medical records.^{20, 21}



• The critical time-constraints of acute stroke therapies also rule out any formal assessment of prior cognitive decline, so the physician relies on either a medical record of a dementia diagnosis or a report from the Heart patient or family member.

DEFINING THE PROBLEM CONTINUED

- Besides these limitations of existing tools to evaluate pre-morbid disability or dementia in practice (Supplementary Table 1), we must also consider intersectionality, a crucial sociological concept that is only now gaining traction in the stroke literature.²²
- Intersectionality refers to the interconnected nature of categorizations like disability, race, class, and gender, which create overlapping and interdependent systems of discrimination or advantage.
- Applying an intersectionality lens to the prevalent functional status-based (e.g. mRS-based) definitions of disability in stroke trials, we find that exclusion by pre-morbid disability likely also unintentionally promotes exclusion by other demographic factors.
- For example, in the population-based Oxford Vascular Study (OXVASC), patients with pre-morbid disability defined by mRS≥2 were generally older, more often female, and more likely to be socio-economically deprived, even after adjusting for comorbidities.^{3,23}
- This sex difference in pre-morbid mRS has also been shown in major clinical trials like ENCHANTED, SCASTS, and HeadPoST.²⁴
- As for age and disability, consider for example the largest thrombolysis trial in acute ischemic stroke, the third International Stroke Trial, which encouraged enrolment of older patients but still excluded those with mRS≥2, calling into question how representative these patients were of the typical older stroke population.²⁵
- Similar considerations also apply for dementia. In addition to the known association between dementia and increasing age, there are also racial differences; the prevalence and incidence of dementia are higher among Black people in the United States than among non-Hispanic White people.^{26,27}



Therefore, exclusion of patients by pre-morbid disability or dementia may limit the generalizability of our Heart reatment evidence for older patients, women, and even certain races.

IMPLICATIONS OF PRE-STROKE DISABILITY AND DEMENTIA ON THE PROGNOSIS OF ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE

13

IMPLICATIONS OF PRE-STROKE DISABILITY AND DEMENTIA ON THE PROGNOSIS OF ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE

- The presence of pre-existing disability and dementia can affect decision-making and outcomes as the patient moves through the stroke systems of care.
- This includes difficulties in the pre-hospital, transfer, triage, and in-hospital to post-hospital processes.
- In the pre-hospital setting, there is often a delay in recognition of acute stroke symptoms as patients may be unable to call for help.
- Relatives and first responders may also have difficulty recognizing new symptoms or be less inclined to seek medical attention in the setting of pre-existing illness.^{28, 29}
- Once patients do present, their prior deficits confound the assessment of the stroke severity scale.
- This confounding often leads to a higher severity assessment with the resulting perception that they will have a worse outcome.^{4, 30, 31}
- These patients are less likely to receive thrombolysis.
- There are documented delays in treatment times for those patients with disability who are treated with thrombolysis, or with endovascular treatment.^{9, 31-34}
- Such delays are known to adversely affect stroke outcomes.
- Once admitted to the hospital, these patients are less likely to receive defect-free evidence-based stroke care.^{31, 34, 35}



IMPLICATIONS OF PRE-STROKE DISABILITY AND DEMENTIA ON THE PROGNOSIS OF ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE CONTINUED

- Additionally, this population has a 3 to 4 times higher nosocomial infection rate 36, longer average hospital stay 36 and a 3 to 5.4 times higher odds of in-hospital mortality, 37, 38 with a higher rate of withdrawal of care.36
- Patients with pre-existing dementia or disability who are not treated and who survive to discharge, have a ٠ higher probability of being discharged to a nursing home or being institutionalized.9, 39, 40
- The long-term consequences and social care costs of the additional disability of untreated stroke in patients with pre-existing neurological deficits are staggering. 3, 30, 31, 38
- In the Oxford Vascular Study, 379% of patients with pre-stroke disability were alive at 3 months and these patients lived an average of 1.35 years (95% CI, 1.20-1.51) post stroke.
- Among these patients, 30.8% did not return to community dwelling and required new institutionalization.
- Each added degree of post-stroke disability (ΔmRS at 3 months post-stroke) had a worse outcome with hazard ratio for 5-year mortality/institutionalization ranging from 1.62-5.45 depending on the degree of change3.
- AmRS also directly correlated with increasing social and health care costs.
- $\Delta mRS \ge 2$ was associated with a \$40,533 (95%CI \$8,827-72,240, p=0.012) increased cost over 5 years.
- This highlights the high societal costs of routinely withholding acute stroke treatments in patients with prestroke disability, as well as the potential opportunities for care and mitigation of further disability with therapies like thrombolysis and EVT in these patients.



CURRENT EVIDENCE FOR THE SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF THROMBOLYSIS AND EVT IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-STROKE DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA

CURRENT EVIDENCE FOR THE SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF THROMBOLYSIS AND EVT IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-STROKE DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA

- Current evidence on thrombolysis (Table 1) and EVT (Table 2) in patients with pre-stroke disability or dementia is mostly from observational studies, namely case-control studies and some registries.
- These studies are subject to significant selection bias; a lower proportion of patients with pre-stroke dementia or disability receive intervention than those without.
- Studies comparing outcomes for patients with pre-morbid disability/dementia treated with thrombolysis or EVT to patients with disability/dementia who are managed medically are scarce, as are randomized trials for populations with pre-stroke disability or dementia.
- The only major EVT trial that permitted the enrolment of patients with pre-stroke disability was MR CLEAN, which included 45 patients with pre-stroke mRS≥2 (of whom 26 had mRS 2), but these patients were not analyzed separately.⁴¹
- Variable thresholds for the definition of "disability" further hamper efforts at direct comparison between studies.
- Notwithstanding these limitations, at present, there is no consistent evidence to support the concern that pre-stroke dementia or disability may be associated with increased risk of sICH associated with reperfusion therapies (Tables 1 and 2).
- Noting the paucity of data comparing treated patients with pre-stroke disability/dementia to untreated patients (versus patients without pre-stroke disability/dementia), there is also no convincing evidence for a loss of treatment benefit with reperfusion therapies in these populations.



• There is some (albeit inconsistent) evidence for increased mortality and reduced return to pre-stroke function American lowing thrombolysis of patients with pre-stroke dementia/disability.

Assoc in the other hand, for EVT, the rates of accumulated post-stroke disability (versus return to pre-stroke function) appear similar for patients with versus without pre-stroke disability.

ETHICS OF INCLUSION VS EXCLUSION OF PATIENTS WITH DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA FROM TREATMENT

18

ETHICS OF INCLUSION VS EXCLUSION OF PATIENTS WITH DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA FROM TREATMENT

- When considering the question of providing or withholding acute stroke therapies for patients with pre-stroke disability or dementia, we must also consider the various ethical dimensions involved.
- These are discussed further in the Supplement.
- Briefly, in the absence of definitive evidence regarding the balance of risks versus benefits of therapy, it is challenging to make treatment decisions based only on the ethical pillars of beneficence and non-maleficence.
- Under such circumstances, stroke teams should seek to respect a patient's autonomy or their wishes and values as expressed by their proxies in the acute stroke setting whenever possible.
- Basing decisions on a perceived lack of cost-effectiveness or futile resource use is difficult to justify in the absence of high-quality effectiveness or cost data in this patient population.
- On the other hand, enthusiasm to treat these patients must be tempered by the reality that individuals with multiple comorbidities and disability are more likely to succumb to complications of acute stroke.



ETHICS OF INCLUSION VS EXCLUSION OF PATIENTS WITH DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA FROM TREATMENT CONTINUED

- Providing good end-of-life palliative care to stroke patients and their families is an inherent moral obligation of the stroke community.
- This aspect needs to be weighed when discussing acute treatment allocation and its merits.
- Furthermore, several biases can influence a physician's or caregiver's decision-making process when considering the use of acute stroke therapies in patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia.
- These include ableism, impact or ineffectual bias, optimism bias, fragility bias, catastrophe bias, therapeutic nihilism, medical paternalism, and biases from lived experience (or lack thereof), which are discussed further in Supplementary Table 2.
- Being cognizant of these biases can help physicians think critically about their decision-making and better cater to patient-centred ethical principles.



CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE USE OF ACUTE STROKE THERAPIES IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-MORBID DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA IN ROUTINE PRACTICE

21

CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE USE OF ACUTE STROKE THERAPIES IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-MORBID DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA IN ROUTINE PRACTICE

- Given the limitations of existing data and the many nuances involved in the care of patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia, as discussed above, it is difficult to draw any firm recommendations about the use of acute stroke therapies like thrombolysis or EVT in this patient population at this time.
- However, based on the best available literature, it seems reasonable to conclude that a blanket disability cut-off, like pre-morbid mRS 2, probably should not be used as a protocolized threshold to exclude patients from acute stroke therapies.
- Instead, we may consider a pragmatic, case-by-case approach to the use of acute stroke therapies in patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia, pending the availability of more definitive evidence (Figure 1).



CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE USE OF ACUTE STROKE THERAPIES IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-MORBID DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA IN ROUTINE PRACTICE CONTINUED



Figure 1: A pragmatic approach to the use of acute stroke therapies in patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia, involving discussions and considerations across the continuum of stroke care from pre-stroke discussions (when possible) to acute stroke decision-making, through to post-acute care and prognostication



CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE USE OF ACUTE STROKE THERAPIES IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-MORBID DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA IN ROUTINE PRACTICE CONTINUED```

- Key elements of this approach include acknowledging the spectrum of good and bad outcomes that may be achieved in these patients, disclosing the uncertain state of the evidence when discussing treatment options with patients or proxies, and adopting patient-centered care strategies whenever possible, taking into account their long-term goals of care.
- Such discussions should acknowledge the potential added risks involved in treating these patients, given that observational studies have fairly consistently shown higher mortality among treated patients with premorbid disability/dementia compared to those without disability/dementia (notwithstanding the limitations of such comparisons as noted above).
- Treatment risks will also be modified by additional patient-specific data; for example, patients with prestroke disability/dementia may have previous neuroimaging showing a considerable burden of white matter hyperintensities or microbleeds, known to increase the risk of post-thrombolysis ICH.^{42, 43}
- This approach also recognizes that the patient's outcome will depend not just on the immediate treatment decision at hand, but also on a continuing high quality of post-acute care.
- Indeed, there is also growing evidence regarding the importance of such post-acute care, including rehabilitation and stroke unit care to prevent complications like pneumonia, which may erode any benefits of thrombolysis or EVT even among pre-morbidly healthy patients.44
- Ensuring access to assistive technologies and psychosocial supports may also help these patients better adapt to life after stroke despite their greater disability.



CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE USE OF ACUTE STROKE THERAPIES IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-MORBID DISABILITY OR DEMENTIA IN ROUTINE PRACTICE CONTINUED

- At present, access to such supports is often dependent on the patients' socioeconomic and health insurance status and varies considerably from state to state even within the United States of America.^{45,46}
- Outside the acute stroke setting, such as in the stroke prevention or neurovascular clinic, physicians should discuss quality-of-life concerns and future care preferences with patients at risk of major stroke who have pre-existing disability or dementia, including their caregivers or families as appropriate.
- Such discussions can facilitate advanced care planning, including living wills or advanced care directives noting patient preferences for acute stroke care, notwithstanding the practical limitations of such advance decisions in influencing eventual care pathways.⁴⁷
- Healthcare systems should invest greater resources towards the accurate documentation of the wishes and values of patients with disability or dementia, and towards ensuring that such documentation is ready available for healthcare teams during emergency situations, without relying on the availability of family members or caregivers.
- In this regard, healthcare systems should foster a culture where issues related to quality-of-life and patients' wishes or values are openly discussed, documented, and shared in a standardized format.



26

- As our societies age, the patient population with acute stroke can be expected to increasingly comprise of older patients with multiple co-morbidities, disability, and/or dementia.⁴⁸
- The stroke community has an obligation to generate higher-quality data to inform stroke care in this expanding population.
- There are several important factors that must be addressed to improve the state of stroke research with regards to patients with pre-stroke disability or dementia (Figure 2).







Figure 2: Methodological, investigator-associated, and societal factors that are critical to consider regarding the representation of patients with pre-morbid disability or dementia in stroke trials, and strategies to address these factors going forward

- The ascertainment and measurement of pre-morbid disability or dementia in the setting of acute stroke continues to be a challenge.
- If we are to conduct high-quality RCTs including patients with pre-morbid disability, we need harmonized, validated strategies to measure disability and capture these data.
- We also need to develop better measures that are not only reliable in an acute stroke setting, but also help elucidate the nature of a given patient's disability (e.g. cognitive versus physical) currently not well captured by the mRS.
- Ideally, such pre-morbid measures should also be captured in clinical registries.
- Such registries could also capture the causes or contributors to pre-stroke disability in each patient, as outcomes likely differ by disability etiology for example, disability from prior strokes vs from orthopedic causes may have different implications, but such comparisons are missing in the literature.
- In addition, 3-month mRS dichotomies of 0-1/2-6 or 0-2/3-6 fail to capture the potential benefits of treatment in patients with a mix of different levels of pre-stroke disability.



- To promote greater inclusion of patients with pre-stroke disability, it is time for acute stroke trials to move away from these conventional dichotomies.
- Instead, ordinal mRS approaches including measures like the ∆mRS (capturing the change in mRS from preto post-stroke), or more inclusive dichotomous outcomes such as return to pre-stroke mRS or avoidance of the devastating outcome of mRS 5-6, should be strongly considered, being far more reflective of long-term outcomes.^{3,49}
- Other measures like home-time (time spent at home post-stroke),⁵⁰ healthcare costs, and quality-of-life would also be valuable in this population, at least as secondary outcomes, to facilitate much-needed costeffectiveness analyses.
- There is also a need for high-quality mixed-methods studies involving physicians as well as patients and caregivers to better inform current policies as well as the design of future trials in this population.
- The current literature tells us little about how physicians actually deal with the uncertainty of present evidence, i.e. how they balance the uncertain benefits versus risks of therapy when caring for patients with pre-stroke disability/dementia.
- Whereas there is a growing body of literature from observational studies (mostly treatment registries), these studies do not help us understand why the patients with pre-stroke disability or dementia captured in these studies were treated - and perhaps more importantly, how many others were not treated and why, and how those untreated patients fared.
- Our failure to engage such patients in research on post-stroke recovery and adaptation is unfortunate as we end up excluding the very patients carrying the greatest burden of illness.⁵¹



- If we do not actively incorporate these voices, then the dialogue on acute stroke therapies becomes restricted to doctors and policy-makers, incurring the risks of "groupthink"⁵², and failing to empower the autonomy of patients with disability/dementia and their caregivers.
- Therefore, we also need to engage these patients and their families/caregivers to capture their views and experiences in relation to (a) the uncertain benefits (versus risks) of acute stroke therapies, (b) potentially living a longer life with greater disability post-stroke, and (c) involvement in acute stroke trials.
- This type of work would ideally include qualitative/mixed-methods studies on patients' wishes and expectations about stroke care, with emphasis on capturing diverse perspectives (different age groups, ethnicities, physical and cognitive disabilities, etc.).
- The field could also benefit from the reflections and quantitative follow-up assessments (e.g. quality-of-life) of patients with pre-morbid disability/dementia who received acute stroke therapies (versus those who did not) and their caregivers.
- Such data can help us understand their perspectives and satisfaction with their acute treatment decisions; similar data have meaningfully informed discussions about decompressive craniectomy in acute stroke.⁵³
- Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI) initiatives in stroke research should also promote training and leadership opportunities for physicians living with disability, so that people with disability are also represented among the investigators themselves.
- As an initial step towards better efficacy data in this population, we encourage the systematic measurement and tracking of pre- versus post-stroke functional outcome in patients with pre-stroke disability and dementia in prospective registries of acute stroke.



- Ideally, these registries should capture data on both treated and untreated patients (the latter generally
 missing from existing data), so that post-treatment outcomes in patients with pre-stroke disability/dementia
 may be compared to those of untreated patients of similar pre-stroke status, instead of expecting them to
 meet the arbitrary standard of treated patients without disability/dementia.
- We also encourage the enrollment of patients with pre-stroke disability/dementia in Phase IV trials of thrombolysis/EVT, and in future trials of new therapies.
- Studies enrolling such mixed populations should plan for separate subgroup analyses of patients with physical and cognitive disability.
- Given the potential added limitations to the informed consent process in this patient population (particularly those with intellectual/cognitive disability or dementia), consideration may be given to strategies like caregiver/proxy assent or if appropriate, waiver of consent to facilitate the inclusion of these patients.⁵⁴
- Incorporating telephone- or video-assisted remote follow-up visits can also empower such patients to participate in stroke trials.
- Research and development into better assistive and rehabilitative technologies will also help improve poststroke outcomes in these patients.
- Our recommendations for future research are summarized in Supplementary Table 3.



CONCLUSION

33

CONCLUSION

- The absence of definitive evidence regarding the efficacy of thrombolysis and EVT in patients with premorbid disability or dementia results in difficult decisions about the use of these therapies.
- Recent clinical-epidemiological studies have demonstrated challenges in our concept and measurement of pre-stroke disability or pre-stroke dementia, while highlighting the significant proportion of the general stroke population that falls under this umbrella, risking exclusion from therapies.
- Such studies have also helped clarify the adverse long-term clinical and health economic consequences with each increment of additional post-stroke disability in these patients, underscoring the importance of finding strategies to mitigate such additional disability.
- Several observational studies both case series and registry-based studies have provided complicated safety data regarding EVT and thrombolysis in patients with pre-morbid disability/dementia, demonstrating similar hemorrhagic risks but much higher mortality compared to patients without disability/dementia.
- These observational data also suggest that such patients have a substantial potential to retain their prestroke level of disability when treated, despite their generally worse prognosis overall, although this remains to be validated in higher-quality registries and clinical trials.
- By pairing pragmatic and transparent decision-making in clinical practice with an active pursuit of highquality research, we can work towards a more inclusive paradigm of patient-centred care for this oftenneglected patient population.



REFERENCES

35

REFERENCES

- 1. VIRANI SS, ALONSO A, BENJAMIN EJ, BITTENCOURT MS, CALLAWAY CW, CARSON AP, CHAMBERLAIN AM, CHANG AR, CHENG S, DELLING FN, DJOUSSE L, ELKIND MSV, FERGUSON JF, FORNAGE M, KHAN SS, KISSELA BM, KNUTSON KL, KWAN TW, LACKLAND DT, LEWIS TT, LICHTMAN JH, LONGENECKER CT, LOOP MS, LUTSEY PL, MARTIN SS, MATSUSHITA K, MORAN AE, MUSSOLINO ME, PERAK AM, ROSAMOND WD, ROTH GA, SAMPSON UKA, SATOU GM, SCHROEDER EB, SHAH SH, SHAY CM, SPARTANO NL, STOKES A, TIRSCHWELL DL, VANWAGNER LB, TSAO CW, AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION COUNCIL ON E, PREVENTION STATISTICS C AND STROKE STATISTICS S. HEART DISEASE AND STROKE STATISTICS-2020 UPDATE: A REPORT FROM THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION. CIRCULATION. 2020;141:E139-E596.
- 2. ORGANIZATION WH. WORLD REPORT ON DISABILITY. 2011:25-27.
- 3. GANESH A, LUENGO-FERNANDEZ R, PENDLEBURY ST AND ROTHWELL PM. LONG-TERM CONSEQUENCES OF WORSENED POSTSTROKE STATUS IN PATIENTS WITH PREMORBID DISABILITY. STROKE. 2018;49:2430-2436.
- 4. PENDLEBURY ST, ROTHWELL PM AND OXFORD VASCULAR S. INCIDENCE AND PREVALENCE OF DEMENTIA ASSOCIATED WITH TRANSIENT ISCHAEMIC ATTACK AND STROKE: ANALYSIS OF THE POPULATION-BASED OXFORD VASCULAR STUDY. LANCET NEUROL. 2019;18:248-258.
- 5. GROUP ISTC. EFFECT OF THROMBOLYSIS WITH ALTEPLASE WITHIN 6 H OF ACUTE ISCHAEMIC STROKE ON LONG-TERM OUTCOMES (THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL STROKE TRIAL [IST-3]): 18-MONTH FOLLOW-UP OF A RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL. LANCET NEUROL. 2013;12:768-76.



- 6. GOYAL M, MENON BK, VAN ZWAM WH, DIPPEL DW, MITCHELL PJ, DEMCHUK AM, DAVALOS A, MAJOIE CB, VAN DER LUGT A, DE MIQUEL MA, DONNAN GA, ROOS YB, BONAFE A, JAHAN R, DIENER HC, VAN DEN BERG LA, LEVY EI, BERKHEMER OA, PEREIRA VM, REMPEL J, MILLAN M, DAVIS SM, ROY D, THORNTON J, ROMAN LS, RIBO M, BEUMER D, STOUCH B, BROWN S, CAMPBELL BC, VAN OOSTENBRUGGE RJ, SAVER JL, HILL MD, JOVIN TG AND COLLABORATORS H. ENDOVASCULAR THROMBECTOMY AFTER LARGE-VESSEL ISCHAEMIC STROKE: A META-ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENT DATA FROM FIVE RANDOMISED TRIALS. LANCET. 2016;387:1723-31.
- 7. PACIARONI M AND PANTONI L. THROMBOLYSIS IN DEMENTIA PATIENTS WITH ACUTE STROKE: IS IT JUSTIFIED? NEUROL SCI. 2017;38:27-31.
- 8. CAPPELLARI M, BOSCO M, FORLIVESI S, TOMELLERI G, MICHELETTI N, CARLETTI M AND BOVI P. REASONS FOR EXCLUSION FROM INTRAVENOUS THROMBOLYSIS IN STROKE PATIENTS ADMITTED TO THE STROKE UNIT. J THROMB THROMBOLYSIS. 2016;42:593-9.
- 9. SAPOSNIK G, COTE R, ROCHON PA, MAMDANI M, LIU Y, RAPTIS S, KAPRAL MK, BLACK SE, REGISTRY OF THE CANADIAN STROKE N AND STROKE OUTCOME RESEARCH CANADA WORKING G. CARE AND OUTCOMES IN PATIENTS WITH ISCHEMIC STROKE WITH AND WITHOUT PREEXISTING DEMENTIA. NEUROLOGY. 2011;77:1664-73.
- 10. FIEHLER J, COGNARD C, GALLITELLI M, JANSEN O, KOBAYASHI A, MATTLE HP, MUIR KW, MAZIGHI M, SCHALLER K AND SCHELLINGER PD. EUROPEAN RECOMMENDATIONS ON ORGANISATION OF INTERVENTIONAL CARE IN ACUTE STROKE (EROICAS). INT J STROKE. 2016;11:701-16.



- 12. DEMAERSCHALK BM, KLEINDORFER DO, ADEOYE OM, DEMCHUK AM, FUGATE JE, GROTTA JC, KHALESSI AA, LEVY EI, PALESCH YY, PRABHAKARAN S, SAPOSNIK G, SAVER JL, SMITH EE, AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION STROKE C, COUNCIL ON E AND PREVENTION. SCIENTIFIC RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA FOR INTRAVENOUS ALTEPLASE IN ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE: A STATEMENT FOR HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS FROM THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION/AMERICAN STROKE ASSOCIATION. STROKE. 2016;47:581-641.
- 13. POWERS WJ, RABINSTEIN AA, ACKERSON T, ADEOYE OM, BAMBAKIDIS NC, BECKER K, BILLER J, BROWN M, DEMAERSCHALK BM, HOH B, JAUCH EC, KIDWELL CS, LESLIE-MAZWI TM, OVBIAGELE B, SCOTT PA, SHETH KN, SOUTHERLAND AM, SUMMERS DV, TIRSCHWELL DL AND AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION STROKE C. 2018 GUIDELINES FOR THE EARLY MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE: A GUIDELINE FOR HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS FROM THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION/AMERICAN STROKE ASSOCIATION. STROKE. 2018;49:E46-E110.
- 14. DE HAAN R, HORN J, LIMBURG M, VAN DER MEULEN J AND BOSSUYT P. A COMPARISON OF FIVE STROKE SCALES WITH MEASURES OF DISABILITY, HANDICAP, AND QUALITY OF LIFE. STROKE. 1993;24:1178-81.
- 15. WOLFE CD, TAUB NA, WOODROW EJ AND BURNEY PG. ASSESSMENT OF SCALES OF DISABILITY AND HANDICAP FOR STROKE PATIENTS. STROKE. 1991;22:1242-4.
- 16. WOOD P. INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF IMPAIRMENTS, DISABILITIES AND HANDICAPS: A MANUAL OF CLASSIFICATION RELATING TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF DISEASE. GENEVA: WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION; 1980.
- 17. ASSOCIATION AP. MAJOR AND MILD NEUROCOGNITIVE DISORDERS DIAGNOSTIC AND STATISTICAL MANUAL OF MENTAL DISORDERS (DSM-5). 5 ED. WASHINGTON, DC: APA PUBLISHING; 2013: 602.



18. GANESH A, OSPEL JM, KROMM J AND GOYAL M. IGNORANCE IS NOT BLISS: MANAGING UNCERTAINTY IN American CUTE STROKE MANAGEMENT IN THE COVID-19 ERA. NEURORADIOLOGY. 2020: ACCEPTED, IN PRESS. Heart Association.

- 19. LEES KR, BATH PM, SCHELLINGER PD, KERR DM, FULTON R, HACKE W, MATCHAR D, SEHRA R, TONI D AND EUROPEAN STROKE ORGANIZATION OUTCOMES WORKING G. CONTEMPORARY OUTCOME MEASURES IN ACUTE STROKE RESEARCH: CHOICE OF PRIMARY OUTCOME MEASURE. STROKE. 2012;43:1163-70.
- 20. MCARTHUR K, BEAGAN ML, DEGNAN A, HOWARTH RC, MITCHELL KA, MCQUAIGE FB, SHANNON MA, STOTT DJ AND QUINN TJ. PROPERTIES OF PROXY-DERIVED MODIFIED RANKIN SCALE ASSESSMENT. INT J STROKE. 2013;8:403-7.
- 21. QUINN TJ, RAY G, ATULA S, WALTERS MR, DAWSON J AND LEES KR. DERIVING MODIFIED RANKIN SCORES FROM MEDICAL CASE-RECORDS. STROKE. 2008;39:3421-3.
- 22. LABOVITZ DL. STROKE EPIDEMIOLOGY AND INTERSECTIONALITY: UNDERSTANDING STROKE OUTCOMES IN MEXICAN AMERICANS IN CORPUS CHRISTI. STROKE. 2020;51:2886-2887.
- 23. RENOUX C, COULOMBE J, LI L, GANESH A, SILVER L AND ROTHWELL PM. CONFOUNDING BY PRE-MORBID FUNCTIONAL STATUS IN STUDIES OF APPARENT SEX DIFFERENCES IN SEVERITY AND OTUCOME IN STROKE. STROKE. 2017;48:2731-2738.
- 24. CARCEL C, WANG X, SANDSET EC, DELCOURT C, ARIMA H, LINDLEY R, HACKETT ML, LAVADOS P, ROBINSON TG, MUNOZ VENTURELLI P, OLAVARRIA VV, BRUNSER A, BERGE E, CHALMERS J, WOODWARD M AND ANDERSON CS. SEX DIFFERENCES IN TREATMENT AND OUTCOME AFTER STROKE: POOLED ANALYSIS INCLUDING 19,000 PARTICIPANTS. NEUROLOGY. 2019;93:E2170-E2180.
- 25. GROUP ISTC, SANDERCOCK P, WARDLAW JM, LINDLEY RI, DENNIS M, COHEN G, MURRAY G, INNES K, VENABLES G, CZLONKOWSKA A, KOBAYASHI A, RICCI S, MURRAY V, BERGE E, SLOT KB, HANKEY GJ, CORREIA M, PEETERS A, MATZ K, LYRER P, GUBITZ G, PHILLIPS SJ AND ARAUZ A. THE BENEFITS AND HARMS OF INTRAVENOUS THROMBOLYSIS WITH RECOMBINANT TISSUE PLASMINOGEN ACTIVATOR WITHIN 6 H OF ACUTE ISCHAEMIC STROKE (THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL STROKE TRIAL [IST-3]): A RANDOMISED America ONTROLLED TRIAL. LANCET. 2012;379:2352-63. Association.

- 26. POWER MC, BENNETT EE, TURNER RW, DOWLING NM, CIARLEGLIO A, GLYMOUR MM AND GIANATTASIO KZ. TRENDS IN RELATIVE INCIDENCE AND PREVALENCE OF DEMENTIA ACROSS NON-HISPANIC BLACK AND WHITE INDIVIDUALS IN THE UNITED STATES, 2000–2016. JAMA NEUROL. 2021;78:275–284.
- 27. MEHTA KM AND YEO GW. SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF DEMENTIA PREVALENCE AND INCIDENCE IN UNITED STATES RACE/ETHNIC POPULATIONS. ALZHEIMERS DEMENT. 2017;13:72–83.
- 28. ELEONORA I, PATRIZIA N, ILARIA R, ALESSANDRA DEL B, FRANCESCO A, BENEDETTA P AND GIOVANNI P. DELAY IN PRESENTATION AFTER ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE: THE CAREGGI HOSPITAL STROKE REGISTRY. NEUROL SCI. 2014;35:49-52.
- 29. FLADT J, MEIER N, THILEMANN S, POLYMERIS A, TRAENKA C, SEIFFGE DJ, SUTTER R, PETERS N, GENSICKE H, FLÜCKIGER B, DE HOOGH K, KÜNZLI N, BRINGOLF-ISLER B, BONATI LH, ENGELTER ST, LYRER PA AND DE MARCHIS GM. REASONS FOR PREHOSPITAL DELAY IN ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE. J AM HEART ASSOC. 2019;8:E013101.
- 30. ZUPANIC E, KÅREHOLT I, NORRVING B, SECNIK J, VON EULER M, WINBLAD B, RELIGA D, KRAMBERGER MG, JOHNELL K, ERIKSDOTTER M AND GARCIA-PTACEK S. ACUTE STROKE CARE IN DEMENTIA: A COHORT STUDY FROM THE SWEDISH DEMENTIA AND STROKE REGISTRIES. J ALZHEIMERS DIS. 2018;66:185-194.
- 31. ZUPANIC E, VON EULER M, KAREHOLT I, CONTRERAS ESCAMEZ B, FASTBOM J, NORRVING B, RELIGA D, KRAMBERGER MG, WINBLAD B, JOHNELL K, ERIKSDOTTER M AND GARCIA-PTACEK S. THROMBOLYSIS IN ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE IN PATIENTS WITH DEMENTIA: A SWEDISH REGISTRY STUDY. NEUROLOGY. 2017;89:1860-1868.



Association.

- 32. GENSICKE H, STRBIAN D, ZINKSTOK SM, SCHEITZ JF, BILL O, HAMETNER C, MOULIN S, ZINI A, KAGI G, PEZZINI A, PADJEN V, BEJOT Y, CORBIERE S, ZONNEVELD TP, SEIFFGE DJ, ROOS YB, TRAENKA C, PUTAALA J, PETERS N, BONATI LH, CURTZE S, ERDUR H, SIBOLT G, KOCH P, VANDELLI L, RINGLEB P, LEYS D, CORDONNIER C, MICHEL P, NOLTE CH, LYRER PA, TATLISUMAK T, NEDERKOORN PJ, ENGELTER ST AND THROMBOLYSIS IN STROKE PATIENTS C. INTRAVENOUS THROMBOLYSIS IN PATIENTS DEPENDENT ON THE DAILY HELP OF OTHERS BEFORE STROKE. STROKE. 2016;47:450-6.
- 33. SLAWSKI DE, SALAHUDDIN H, SHAWVER J, KENMUIR CL, TIETJEN GE, KORSNACK A, ZAIDI SF AND JUMAA MA. MECHANICAL THROMBECTOMY IN ELDERLY STROKE PATIENTS WITH MILD-TO-MODERATE BASELINE DISABILITY. INTERV NEUROL. 2018;7:246-255.
- 34. CALLISAYA ML, PURVIS T, LAWLER K, BRODTMANN A, CADILHAC DA AND KILKENNY MF. DEMENTIA IS ASSOCIATED WITH POORER QUALITY OF CARE AND OUTCOMES AFTER STROKE: AN OBSERVATIONAL STUDY. J GERONTOL A BIOL SCI MED SCI. 2020.
- 35. SAPOSNIK G, KAPRAL MK, COTE R, ROCHON PA, WANG J, RAPTIS S, MAMDANI M AND BLACK SE. IS PRE-EXISTING DEMENTIA AN INDEPENDENT PREDICTOR OF OUTCOME AFTER STROKE? A PROPENSITY SCORE-MATCHED ANALYSIS. J NEUROL. 2012;259:2366-75.
- 36. HAN TS, FRY CH, GULLI G, AFFLEY B, ROBIN J, IRVIN-SELLERS M, FLUCK D, KAKAR P, SHARMA S AND SHARMA P. PRESTROKE DISABILITY PREDICTS ADVERSE POSTSTROKE OUTCOME: A REGISTRY-BASED PROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY OF ACUTE STROKE. STROKE. 2020;51:594-600.



- 38. KARLINSKI M, KOBAYASHI A, CZLONKOWSKA A, MIKULIK R, VACLAVIK D, BROZMAN M, SVIGELJ V, CSIBA L, FEKETE K, KORV J, DEMARIN V, VILIONSKIS A, JATUZIS D, KRESPI Y, AHMED N, WAHLGREN N AND SAFE IMPLEMENTATION OF TREATMENTS IN STROKE-EASTERN EUROPE I. ROLE OF PREEXISTING DISABILITY IN PATIENTS TREATED WITH INTRAVENOUS THROMBOLYSIS FOR ISCHEMIC STROKE. STROKE. 2014;45:770-5.
- 39. SUBIC A, CERMAKOVA P, NORRVING B, WINBLAD B, VON EULER M, KRAMBERGER MG, ERIKSDOTTER M AND GARCIA-PTACEK S. MANAGEMENT OF ACUTE ISCHAEMIC STROKE IN PATIENTS WITH DEMENTIA. J INTERN MED. 2017;281:348-364.
- 40. GARCIA-PTACEK S, CONTRERAS ESCAMEZ B, ZUPANIC E, RELIGA D, VON KOCH L, JOHNELL K, VON EULER M, KAREHOLT I AND ERIKSDOTTER M. PRESTROKE MOBILITY AND DEMENTIA AS PREDICTORS OF STROKE OUTCOMES IN PATIENTS OVER 65 YEARS OF AGE: A COHORT STUDY FROM THE SWEDISH DEMENTIA AND STROKE REGISTRIES. J AM MED DIR ASSOC. 2018;19:154–161.
- 41. BERKHEMER OA, FRANSEN PS, BEUMER D, VAN DEN BERG LA, LINGSMA HF, YOO AJ, SCHONEWILLE WJ, VOS JA, NEDERKOORN PJ, WERMER MJ, VAN WALDERVEEN MA, STAALS J, HOFMEIJER J, VAN OOSTAYEN JA, LYCKLAMA A NIJEHOLT GJ, BOITEN J, BROUWER PA, EMMER BJ, DE BRUIJN SF, VAN DIJK LC, KAPPELLE LJ, LO RH, VAN DIJK EJ, DE VRIES J, DE KORT PL, VAN ROOIJ WJ, VAN DEN BERG JS, VAN HASSELT BA, AERDEN LA, DALLINGA RJ, VISSER MC, BOT JC, VROOMEN PC, ESHGHI O, SCHREUDER TH, HEIJBOER RJ, KEIZER K, TIELBEEK AV, DEN HERTOG HM, GERRITS DG, VAN DEN BERG-VOS RM, KARAS GB, STEYERBERG EW, FLACH HZ, MARQUERING HA, SPRENGERS ME, JENNISKENS SF, BEENEN LF, VAN DEN BERG R, KOUDSTAAL PJ, VAN ZWAM WH, ROOS YB, VAN DER LUGT A, VAN OOSTENBRUGGE RJ, MAJOIE CB, DIPPEL DW AND INVESTIGATORS MC. A RANDOMIZED TRIAL OF INTRAARTERIAL TREATMENT FOR ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE. N ENGL J MED. 2015;372:11-20.
- 42. CURTZE S, HAAPANIEMI E, MELKAS S, MUSTANOJA S, PUTAALA J, SAIRANEN T, SIBOLT G, TIAINEN M, TATLISUMAK T AND STRBIAN D. WHITE MATTER LESIONS DOUBLE THE RISK OF POST-THROMBOLYTIC INTRACEREBRAL HEMORRHAGE. STROKE. 2015;46:2149-55.



- 43. CHARIDIMOU A, TURC G, OPPENHEIM C, YAN S, SCHEITZ JF, ERDUR H, KLINGER-GRATZ PP, EL-KOUSSY M, TAKAHASHI W, MORIYA Y, WILSON D, KIDWELL CS, SAVER JL, SALLEM A, MOULIN S, EDJLALI-GOUJON M, THIJS V, FOX Z, SHOAMANESH A, ALBERS GW, MATTLE HP, BENAVENTE OR, JAGER HR, AMBLER G, AOKI J, BARON JC, KIMURA K, KAKUDA W, TAKIZAWA S, JUNG S, NOLTE CH, LOU M, CORDONNIER C AND WERRING DJ. MICROBLEEDS, CEREBRAL HEMORRHAGE, AND FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME AFTER STROKE THROMBOLYSIS. STROKE. 2017;48:2084-2090.
- 44. GANESH A, MENON BK, ASSIS ZA, DEMCHUK AM, AL-AJLAN FS, ALMEKHLAFI MA, REMPEL JL, SHUAIB A, BAXTER BW, DEVLIN T, THORNTON J, WILLIAMS D, POPPE AY, ROY D, KRINGS T, CASAUBON LK, KASHANI N, HILL MD AND GOYAL M. DISCREPANCY BETWEEN POST-TREATMENT INFARCT VOLUME AND 90-DAY OUTCOME IN THE ESCAPE RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF STROKE 2020.
- 45. GANESH A, KING-SHIER K, MANNS BJ, HILL MD AND CAMPBELL DJ. MONEY IS BRAIN: FINANCIAL BARRIERS AND CONSEQUENCES FOR CANADIAN STROKE PATIENTS. CAN J NEUROL SCI. 2017;44:146-151.
- 46. TEDESCO TRICCAS L, MCLENING B, HENDRIE W AND PERYER G. IS THERE A STANDARD PROCEDURE FOR ASSESSING AND PROVIDING ASSISTIVE DEVICES FOR PEOPLE WITH NEURO-DISABLING CONDITIONS IN UNITED KINGDOM? A NATION-WIDE SURVEY. DISABIL HEALTH J. 2019;12:93-97.
- 47. SKOLARUS LE, LIN CC, SPRINGER MV AND BURKE JF. ADVANCE CARE PLANNING AMONG STROKE SURVIVORS IN THE UNITED STATES. NEUROLOGY. 2020;95:874-876.
- 48. SMITH AK AND MICCO G. SERVING THE VERY SICK, VERY FRAIL, AND VERY OLD: GERIATRICS, PALLIATIVE CARE, AND CLINICAL ETHICS. PERSPECT BIOL MED. 2017;60:503–518.
- 49. GANESH A, LUENGO-FERNANDEZ R, WHARTON RM, ROTHWELL PM AND OXFORD VASCULAR S. ORDINAL VS DICHOTOMOUS ANALYSES OF MODIFIED RANKIN SCALE, 5-YEAR OUTCOME, AND COST OF STROKE. NEUROLOGY. 2018;91:E1951-E1960.



- 50. QUINN TJ, DAWSON J, LEES JS, CHANG TP, WALTERS MR, LEES KR, GAIN AND INVESTIGATORS V. TIME SPENT AT HOME POSTSTROKE: "HOME-TIME" A MEANINGFUL AND ROBUST OUTCOME MEASURE FOR STROKE TRIALS. STROKE. 2008;39:231-3.
- 51. SHIMMIN C, WITTMEIER KDM, LAVOIE JG, WICKLUND ED AND SIBLEY KM. MOVING TOWARDS A MORE INCLUSIVE PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT IN HEALTH RESEARCH PARADIGM: THE INCORPORATION OF A TRAUMA-INFORMED INTERSECTIONAL ANALYSIS. BMC HEALTH SERV RES. 2017;17:539.
- 52. LO B. BEHIND CLOSED DOORS. PROMISES AND PITFALLS OF ETHICS COMMITTEES. N ENGL J MED. 1987;317:46–50.
- 53. RAHME R, ZUCCARELLO M, KLEINDORFER D, ADEOYE OM AND RINGER AJ. DECOMPRESSIVE HEMICRANIECTOMY FOR MALIGNANT MIDDLE CEREBRAL ARTERY TERRITORY INFARCTION: IS LIFE WORTH LIVING? J NEUROSURG. 2012;117:749-54.
- 54. GOYAL M, OSPEL JM, GANESH A, MARKO M AND FISHER M. RETHINKING CONSENT FOR STROKE TRIALS IN TIME-SENSITIVE SITUATIONS: INSIGHTS FROM THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC. STROKE. 2021;52:1527-1531.
- 55. CARUSO P, AJCEVIC M, FURLANIS G, RIDOLFI M, LUGNAN C, CILLOTTO T, NACCARATO M AND MANGANOTTI P. THROMBOLYSIS SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS IN ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE PATIENTS WITH PRE-MORBID DISABILITY. J CLIN NEUROSCI. 2020;72:180–184.
- 56. MERLINO G, CORAZZA E, LORENZUT S, GIGLI GL, CARGNELUTTI D AND VALENTE M. EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF INTRAVENOUS THROMBOLYSIS IN PATIENTS WITH ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE AND PRE-EXISTING DISABILITY. JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MEDICINE. 2019;8.



- 58. ZHANG W, COOTE S, FROST T, DEWEY HM AND CHOI PMC. ACUTE STROKE PATIENTS WITH MILD-TO-MODERATE PRE-EXISTING DISABILITY SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR THROMBOLYSIS TREATMENT. J STROKE CEREBROVASC DIS. 2018;27:2707-2711.
- 59. ALSHEKHLEE A, LI CC, CHUANG SY, VORA N, EDGELL RC, KITCHENER JM, KALE SP, FEEN E, PIRIYAWAT P, CALLISON RC AND CRUZ-FLORES S. DOES DEMENTIA INCREASE RISK OF THROMBOLYSIS?: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY. NEUROLOGY. 2011;76:1575-80.
- 60. FOELL RB, SILVER B, MERINO JG, WONG EH, DEMAERSCHALK BM, PONCHA F, TAMAYO A AND HACHINSKI V. EFFECTS OF THROMBOLYSIS FOR ACUTE STROKE IN PATIENTS WITH PRE-EXISTING DISABILITY. CMAJ. 2003;169:193-7.
- 61. SALWI S, CUTTING S, SALGADO AD, ESPAILLAT K, FUSCO MR, FROEHLER MT, CHITALE RV, KIRSHNER H, SCHRAG M, JASNE A, BURTON T, MACGRORY B, SAAD A, JAYARAMAN MV, MADSEN TE, DAKAY K, MCTAGGART R, YAGHI S, KHATRI P, MISTRY AM AND MISTRY EA. MECHANICAL THROMBECTOMY IN PATIENTS WITH ISCHEMIC STROKE WITH PRESTROKE DISABILITY. STROKE. 2020;51:1539–1545.
- 62. REGENHARDT RW, YOUNG MJ, ETHERTON MR, DAS AS, STAPLETON CJ, PATEL AB, LEV MH, HIRSCH JA, ROST NS AND LESLIE-MAZWI TM. TOWARD A MORE INCLUSIVE PARADIGM: THROMBECTOMY FOR STROKE PATIENTS WITH PRE-EXISTING DISABILITIES. J NEUROINTERV SURG. 2020.
- 63. SALWI S, CUTTING S, SALGADO AD, ESPAILLAT K, FUSCO MR, FROEHLER MT, CHITALE RV, KIRSHNER H, SCHRAG M, JASNE A, BURTON T, GRORY BM, SAAD A, JAYARAMAN MV, MADSEN TE, DAKAY K, MCTAGGART R, YAGHI S, KHATRI P, MISTRY AM AND MISTRY EA. MECHANICAL THROMBECTOMY IN ISCHEMIC STROKE PATIENTS WITH SEVERE PRE-STROKE DISABILITY. J STROKE CEREBROVASC DIS. 2020;29:104952.



- 64. LARSSON A, KARLSSON C, RENTZOS A, SCHUMACHER M, ABRAHAMSON M, ALLARDT A, BREDERLAU A, CEDER E, DAVIDSON M, DUNKER D, GUNNARSSON T, HOLMEGAARD L, JERNDAL M, KARLSSON JE, NORDANSTIG A, REDFORS P, ROSENGREN L, TATLISUMAK T AND JOOD K. DO PATIENTS WITH LARGE VESSEL OCCLUSION ISCHEMIC STROKE HARBORING PRESTROKE DISABILITY BENEFIT FROM THROMBECTOMY? JOURNAL OF NEUROLOGY. 2020.
- 65. OESCH L, ARNOLD M, BERNASCONI C, KAESMACHER J, FISCHER U, MOSIMANN PJ, JUNG S, MEINEL T, GOELDLIN M, HELDNER M, VOLBERS B, GRALLA J AND SARIKAYA H. IMPACT OF PRE-STROKE DEPENDENCY ON OUTCOME AFTER ENDOVASCULAR THERAPY IN ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE. J NEUROL. 2021;268:541-548.
- 66. LEKER RR, COHEN JE, HOREV A, TANNE D, ORION D, RAPHAELI G, AMSALEM J, STREIFLER JY, HALLEVI H, BORNSTEIN NM, YAGHMOUR NE, TELMAN G AND GROUP N-RS. IMPACT OF PREVIOUS STROKE ON OUTCOME AFTER THROMBECTOMY IN PATIENTS WITH LARGE VESSEL OCCLUSION. INT J STROKE. 2019;14:887-892.
- 67. GOLDHOORN RB, VERHAGEN M, DIPPEL DWJ, VAN DER LUGT A, LINGSMA HF, ROOS Y, MAJOIE C, VOS JA, BOITEN J, VAN ZWAM WH, VAN OOSTENBRUGGE RJ, VAN DEN WIJNGAARD I AND INVESTIGATORS MCR. SAFETY AND OUTCOME OF ENDOVASCULAR TREATMENT IN PRESTROKE-DEPENDENT PATIENTS. STROKE. 2018;49:2406-2414.



TABLES

47

TABLE 1

Table 1 – Studies Evaluating Intravenous Thrombolysis (IVT) for Acute Ischemic Stroke (AIS) in Patients with Pre-existing Disability or Dementia. Studies are presented in reverse chronological order.

Author and Year Published	Study Design	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients)/ Study Comparator (# patients)	Post-stroke disability outcomes	Mortality outcomes	ICH, sICH, and other safety outcomes	Limitations or Comments
Caruso 2020 ⁵⁵	Retrospective, single center, 2015-2017, n=35	AIS treated with IVT (3 also EVT), pre- stroke mRS ≥2	12 pre-stroke mRS 2, 14 pre-stroke mRS 3, 9 pre- stroke mRS 4-5. 247 AIS-IVT with pre-stroke mRS<2.	The treated subjects with mRS < 2 showed lower mRS at discharge (median 1; range 0- 6) and similar ΔNIHSS% (-75%).	Mortality (unclear timepoint) 2/12 [17%] pre-stroke mRS 2, 3/14 [21.4%] mRS 3, 4/9 [44%] mRS 4-5. Vs 4.7% for mRS<2.	sICH in 3/12 (22%) mRS 2, 2/14 (14%) mRS 3, 2/9 (22%) mRS 4-5 vs 5 with mRS<2.	In surviving patients, median % change in NIHSS was higher in the mRS 2 and 3 groups (-63.3% and -92.3%, respectively) thar in the mRS4/5 group (-9.1%).
Merlino 2019 ⁵⁶	Retrospective, single center, 2015-2018, n=110	AIS, IVT- eligible, pre- stroke mRS 3- 4, exclude thrombectomy	36 treated with IVT, 74 no IVT	Favorable outcome = 3-month return to pre-stroke mRS associated with IVT vs no IVT, OR 3.5 (1.4-8.9)	Similar 3-month mortality (OR 1.2 95%CI 0.4-3.3)	Similar rates of ICH (OR 2.2, 95%CI 0.4-12.4) and sICH (2 vs 0, p=0.10)	Neurologic improvement i.e. ≥8 point NIHSS improvement or NIHSS=0 at discharge, OR 2.9 (1.0-8.0)
Gumbinger 2019 ⁵⁷	German state- binger wide registry 2008-2014, n=52,741 disabilities (mRS>0) (mRS>0) 29% of total treated with IVT, inversely correlated with pre-stroke mRS (e.g. 32.9% mRS 0, vs 20.2% mRS 3, 11.2% mRS 5) Favorable outcome at discharge (mRS 0-1 or return to pre- stroke mRS) independently associated with IVT a for all pre-stroke (mRS 0-4, Multivariable-aORs 1 1.73 (1.61-1.86) mRS 0 vs 1.57		Morality at discharge not independently associated with IVT for any of the pre-stroke mRS>0 groups	Not reported in this paper			



TABLE 1 CONTINUED

				(1.24-1.99) mRS 3 and 1.60 (1.13- 2.27) mRS 4.			
Zhang 2018 ⁵⁸	Retrospective, single-center 2005-2016, 820 consecutive patients	AIS treated with IVT	680 with premorbid mRS 0- 1, 140 mRS 2-4	Return to premorbid mRS at 90d in 24.9%, 38.3%, 32.3%, 29.7%, and 25.0% of patients with premorbid mRS 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively (nonsignificant)	Pre-existing disability associated with increased mortality (35.7% vs 12.8%, p<0.05).	sICH across same categories 3.3%, 7.4%, 4%, 13.5%, 25% (nonsignificant)	60% of pre- morbid mRS 3 and 74.8% mRS 4 were eligible but excluded from alteplase. Treated patients with pre-morbid disability had longer onset-to- needle time.
Zupanic 2017 ³¹	Swedish Dementia Registry 2007- 2014 with strokes identified by Riksstroke national stroke registry, nested case-control	AIS, restricted to 2010-2014 period when 4.5 hour IVT window was instituted.	1356 dementia patients with AIS vs 6755 dementia- free controls matched by age, sex, stroke year, geographic region.	Increased 3m mRS score for prestroke dementia (OR for ordinal logistic regression 3.65, OR 2.06-6.45) and new nursing home placement (4.39, 2.07-9.31).	No difference in 3m mortality (OR for dementia vs no dementia 0.71, 0.36-1.8).	No difference in sICH (7.4% vs 7.3%).	Pre-stroke dementia associated with lower likelihood of IVT (7% vs 9.5%, adjusted OR 0.68, 0.54- 0.86, similar results using propensity- matching).
Gensicke 2016 ³²	Prospective registry study, n=7430	Consecutive AIS treated with IVT	6941 with pre- stroke mRS 0-2 vs 489 pre-stroke mRS 3-5	No difference for 3m poor outcome (defined as mRS=3- 6 if pre-stroke ≤2, increased post- stroke mRS if pre- stroke >2; aOR 0.95; 95% CI, 0.75– 1.21).	3m mortality increased with pre-stroke disability (OR 2.19; 95% CI, 1.70–2.84; P<0.001)	sICH occurred equally frequently in those with vs without disability (4.8% versus 4.5%)	Among survivors and after adjustment for age and NIHSS, lower likelihood for poor outcome among pre-stroke mRS>2 (aOR 0.64; 95% CI, 0.49–0.84; P=0.001)



TABLE 1 CONTINUED

Karlinski 2014 ³⁸	SITS-EAST prospective registry 2003- 2011, n=7250	Consecutive AIS treated with IVT	171 with pre- stroke mRS 3-5, 293 pre-stroke mRS 2, 790 pre- stroke mRS 1, 5990 pre-stroke mRS 0	For favorable 3m outcome [mRS 0-2 or return to pre- stroke mRS], aOR: 0.80 (95%CI 0.65- 1.0), 0.41 (0.28- 0.60), 0.59 (0.34- 1.01)	Prestroke mRS 1, 2, and ≥3 were associated with increased risk of death at 3 months (OR 1.3, 2.0, and 2.6). Patients with pre- stroke mRS≥3 had higher mortality than those with mRS 2 (48% versus 39%).	Multivariable aORs (95%Cls) across mRS 1, 2, and 3-5 relative to mRS 0 for sICH : 1.36 (0.99-1.86), 1.12 (0.67-1.88), 1.18 (0.58-2.43). Sensitivity analysis excluding patients with prior stroke showed increased sICH with mRS 3-5.	For NIHSS \geq 4pt improvement day 7, multivariable adjusted ORs across pre-stroke mRS 1, 2, and 3- 5 vs mRS 0: 1.0 (0.85-1.18), 0.64 (0.49-0.85), 0.59 (0.38-0.90)
Busl 2013 ³⁷	Retrospective, single center, 2002-2009, n=153 (110 IVT, 54 IAT, 11 both)	AIS treated with IVT or IAT, age>80	21 pre-stroke dementia vs 132 no pre-stroke dementia	Favorable discharge (home or acute rehab) 7/21 (33.3%) vs 76/132 (57.6%, OR 0.37 [0.12=1.06] p=0.38)	In-hospital mortality 13/21 (61.9%) vs 41/132 (31.1%, OR 3.6 [123 10.8] p=0.01)	sICH 3/21 (14.2%) with pre- stroke dementia, 7/132 (5.3%, OR 3.0 [0.5-14.4] p=0.14) no dementia	
Saposnik 2012 ³⁵	Canadian Stroke Network registry 2003- 2008, retrospective analysis n=10,658 and nested case- control	AIS treated with and without IVT	Total registry: 966 with pre-stroke dementia, 9692 no dementia. Nested case-control: 877 with dementia, 877 without, propensity- matched by age, sex, severity, type, comorbidities and treatment characteristics.	Disability at discharge similar between patients with dementia and those without in the matched sample (85.2 vs 82.7%). Slight increase in disability (RR 1.10, 95%CI 1.02–1.19) when including only patients discharged alive.	In full cohort no difference in 30d mortality with vs without dementia (RR 0.96, 0.81- 1.12). In matched analysis, no difference in 30d mortality (RR 0.88, 0.75-1.03).	No significant difference in sICH (RR 1.28, 95%CI 0.63–2.60)	Patients with dementia less likely to receive IVT (10.5% vs 16.2%).



TABLE 1 CONTINUED

Alshekhlee 2011 ⁵⁹	Retrospective, US National Inpatient Sample database 2000- 2007, nested case control	AIS treated with IVT	207 treated patients with dementia, 621 without dementia probability- matched for age, gender, race.	N/A	No difference between patients with vs without dementia in rate of death (17.4% vs 14.5%)	No difference in ICH (5.8% with dementia vs 4.5% without).	Proportion receiving thrombolysis 0.58% for dementia patients vs 1.28% in full sample
Foell 2003 ⁶⁰	Prospective observational study, n=112	Consecutive AIS treated with IVT	24 with pre-stroke mRS≥2 vs 88 pre- stroke mRS≤1	Median mRS 3 with pre-morbid disability vs 2 without. No difference in favorable outcome defined as mRS 0-1 or return to pre- mRS baseline (41% vs 42%)	3-month mortality 33% vs 14%	2 sICH in those with disability (8.3%) vs 1 without (1.1%), all fatal, no significant difference.	

Abbreviations: AIS- acute ischemic stroke; mRS- modified Rankin Scale; IVT- intravenous thrombolysis; sICH- symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage; NIHSS- National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, OR- odds ratio (aOR- adjusted OR); RR- risk ratio



TABLE 2

Table 2 – Studies Evaluating Endovascular Therapy (EVT) for Acute Ischemic Stroke (AIS) in Patients with Pre-existing Disability or Dementia. Studies are presented in reverse chronological order.

First Author and Year Published	Study Design	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) and Comparator (# patients)	Post-stroke disability outcomes	Mortality outcomes	ICH, sICH, or other safety outcomes	Study Limitations and other Comments
Salwi 2020 ^{e1}	Retrospective, dual center, 2012-2018, n=761	Consecutive AIS patients treated with EVT	259 patients with moderate pre-stroke disability mRS≥2 vs 502 pre-stroke mRS≤1	90-day mRS≤1 or unchanged from baseline disability in 36.7% vs 26.7% (aOR, 0.90, 95%Cl 0.60–1.35, P=0.6).	90-day mortality higher with pre- stroke mRS≥2 (aOR, 2.83, 1.84-4.37, P<0.001).	No differences between groups in sICH.	Two thirds of thrombectomy population had baseline mRS≥2. No differences in reperfusion, length of stay by pre-stroke mRS.
Regenhardt 2020 ⁶²	Retrospective, single center, 2011-2019, n=381	Consecutive AIS patients treated with EVT	49 patients with baseline disability (five with mRS=4, 23 mRS=3, 21 mRS=2), 332 without	Baseline disability associated with 90d mRS ≤2 (OR 0.51, 95%CI=0.37–0.70) but not accumulated disability by delta mRS ≤0.	Higher 90-day mortality in those with pre- stroke disability (50% vs 19%, p<0.0001)	No differences in complications including ICH (OR 0.52, 95%CI=0.24- 1.11)	No association between baseline disability and accumulated disability or other thrombectomy outcomes.
Salwi 2020 ⁸³	Retrospective, dual center, 2012-2018, n=855	Selected patients with severe baseline disability (mRS 4 or 5)	33 patients (4% of total) identified from 822 patients total	36% return to baseline functional status comparable to historical data on patients without pre- morbid disability	Eight patients (24.2%) died in- hospital	6.2% had sICH	Rate of 84% successful recanalization. Small numbers of patients without direct comparator group, selection bias.
Larsson 2020 ⁸⁴	Retrospective, single center, 2015-2018, n=591	Consecutive AIS treated with EVT	90 patients with baseline disability mRS≥3 vs 501 with mRS≤2	Recanalization rates and return to pre- stroke functional baseline were similar between groups. 20% with pre-stroke disability returned to baseline	Mortality at 90- days higher in patients with pre-stroke disability	sICH similar between groups	



TABLE 2 CONTINUED

Oesch 2020 ⁶⁵	Prospective, observational registry study, multicenter, 2005-2016, n=1247	Consecutive AIS treated with EVT	84 patients with pre-stroke mRS≥3 vs 1163 with pre-stroke mRS≤2	Pre-existing disability not associated with clinical outcome (aOR 1.08, 95% CI 0.61–1.89)	Pre-existing disability not associated with mortality (aOR 1.27, 95% CI 0.76–2.1)	sICH similar in both groups	Significant baseline differences beside disability (age, history of prior stroke, presence of vascular risk factors, baseline NIHSS)
Leker 2019 ⁵⁵	Prospective, observational registry study, multicenter, 2017-2018, n=390	Consecutive AIS treated with EVT	35 patients with previous strokes, 355 patients without previous stroke	Return to previous disability level in 9% with previous stroke	Higher 1yr mortality with prior stroke (37% vs 16%; p=0.005)	sICH similar between groups	Patients with prior strokes had higher pre- stroke disability (48% vs 13%, p<0.001) and lower reperfusion rates (60% vs 82%; p=0.005)
Slawski 2018 ³³	Retrospective, single center, 2015-2017, n=96	Consecutive patients over 80yrs of age treated with EVT	46 patients with moderate pre- stroke disability mRS 2-4 vs 50 pre-stroke mRS≤1	No significant differences in return to baseline disability between mild and moderate baseline disability groups (43% vs. 24%, p = 0.08)	Mortality rate 38.5% at 90 days – higher with prior disability vs without (52.2% vs 26%, p=0.012)	No significant difference in sICH in those with vs without pre-morbid disability (8.7% vs 4%, p=0.42)	No association of outcome in either group with very elderly (>85yrs) or time >8hrs from onset
Goldhoorn 2018 ⁶⁷	Prospective, observational registry study, multicenter, 2014-2016, n=1441	Consecutive patients with anterior circulation occlusions treated with EVT	157 patients with moderate pre-stroke disability mRS 3-5 vs 1284 patients with pre-stroke mRS≤2	Return to baseline disability in 27% of disabled patients vs. 42% pre-stroke independent patients (OR adjusted, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.58–1.39)	Higher mortality at 90-days with pre-stroke disability (aOR 2.07, 95%CI 1.40-3.04)	sICH and stroke progression similar between groups	Large sample, representative of clinical practice, 11% of registry population had pre- stroke disability

Abbreviations: AIS- acute ischemic stroke; mRS- modified Rankin Scale; EVT- endovascular therapy; sICH- symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage; NIHSS- National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, OR- odds ratio (aOR- adjusted OR); RR- risk ratio

