

2024

ACC/AHA/AACVPR/APMA/ABC/SCAI /SVM/SVN/SVS/SIR/VESS Guideline for the Management of Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease

A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines

Developed in Collaboration With and Endorsed by the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, American Podiatric Medical Association, Association of Black Cardiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine, Society for Vascular Nursing, Society for Vascular Surgery, Society of Interventional Radiology, and Vascular & Endovascular Surgery Society





Citation

This slide set is adapted from the 2024 ACC/AHA/AACVPR/APMA/ABC/SCAI/SVM/SVN/SVS/SIR/VESS Guideline for the Management of Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease. Published ahead of print May 14, 2024, available at: Circulation. https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001251 And Journal of the American College of Cardiology, published online ahead of print May 14, 2024. J Am Coll Cardiol. https://www.jacc.org/doi/10.1016/j.jacc.2024.02.013

© 2024 the American Heart Association, Inc. and the American College of Cardiology Foundation. All rights reserved. This article has been published in Circulation and the Journal of the American College of Cardiology.





2024 Writing Committee Members*

Heather L. Gornik, MD, FAHA, MSVM, Chair Herbert D. Aronow, MD, MPH, FACC, FSCAI, FSVM, Co-Vice Chair Philip P. Goodney, MD, MS, DFSVS, Co-Vice Chair

Shipra Arya, MD, SM Luke Packard Brewster, MD, PhD, MA, RVT, DFSVS, FAHA[†] Lori Byrd, MS[‡] Venita Chandra, MD§ Douglas E. Drachman, MD, FACC, FAHA, FSCAI Jennifer M. Eaves, DNP, MSN, RN Jonathan K. Ehrman, PhD, FACC, FAHA, FAACVPR∥ John N. Evans, DPM¶ Thomas S.D. Getchius J. Antonio Gutiérrez, MD, MHS Beau M. Hawkins, MD, FACC, FSCAI, FSVM Connie N. Hess, MD, MHS, FACC, FAHA, FSCAI Karen J. Ho, MD W. Schuyler Jones, MD, FACC#

Esther S.H. Kim, MD, MPH, FAHA, FSVM** Scott Kinlay, MBBS, PhD, FACC Lee Kirksey, MD, MBA^{††} Debra Kohlman-Trigoboff, RN, MS, ACNP-BC, CVN Chandler A. Long, MD Amy West Pollak, MD, MSc Saher S. Sabri, MD Lawrence B. Sadwin‡ Eric A. Secemsky, MD, MSc, FACC, FAHA, FSCAI, FSVM Maya Serhal, MD, FACC Mehdi H. Shishehbor, DO, MPH, PhD, FACC, FSVM^{‡‡} Diane Treat-Jacobson, PhD, RN, MSVM, FAHA§§ Luke R. Wilkins, MD, FSIR

*Writing committee members are required to recuse themselves from voting on sections to which their specific relationships with industry may apply; see Appendix 1 for detailed information. †VESS Representative. ‡Layperson or patient representative. §SVS representative. AACVPR representative. ¶APMA representative. #AHA/ACC Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines liaison. **SVM representative. ++ABC representative. ++SCAI representative. §§SVN representative. || SIR representative. ¶ Former Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines member; current member during the writing effort.







2024 Guideline for the Management of Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease







1. Peripheral artery disease (PAD) is a common cardiovascular disease associated with increased risk of amputation, myocardial infarction, stroke, and death, as well as impaired quality of life, walking performance, and functional status.





- 2. This guideline defines 4 clinical subsets of PAD:
 - Asymptomatic PAD (may have functional • impairment)
 - Chronic symptomatic PAD (including claudication),
 - Chronic limb-threatening ischemia, and
 - Acute limb ischemia.





3. Detection of PAD in most patients is accomplished through the history, physical examination, and the resting ankle-brachial index.





4. Health disparities in PAD are associated with poor limb and cardiovascular outcomes and must be addressed at the individual patient and population levels, with interventions coordinated between multiple stakeholders across the cardiovascular community and public health infrastructure.





5. Effective medical therapies for patients with PAD should be prescribed to prevent major adverse cardiovascular events and major adverse limb events for patients with PAD, including antiplatelet (generally single antiplatelet) and antithrombotic therapy, lipid-lowering (ie, high-intensity statin) and antihypertensive therapy, management of diabetes, and smoking cessation. Rivaroxaban (2.5 mg twice daily) combined with lowdose aspirin (81 mg daily) is effective to prevent major adverse cardiovascular events and major adverse limb events in patients with PAD who are not at increased risk of bleeding.





6. Structured exercise is a core component of care for patients with PAD. It includes supervised exercise therapy and community-based (including structured home-based) programs.





7. Revascularization (endovascular, surgical, or hybrid) should be used to prevent limb loss in those with chronic limb-threatening ischemia and can be used to improve quality of life and functional status in patients with claudication not responsive to medical therapy and structured exercise.





8. Care for patients with PAD, and especially those with chronic limb-threatening ischemia, is optimized when delivered by a multispecialty care team.





9. Foot care is crucial for patients with PAD across all clinical subsets and ranges from preventive care and patient education to advanced care in the setting of chronic limb-threatening ischemia. Podiatrists and other specialists with expertise in foot care, wound-healing therapies, and foot surgery are important members of the multispecialty care team.





10. The PAD National Action Plan outlines 6 strategic goals to improve awareness, detection, and treatment of PAD nationwide. Implementation of this action plan is recognized as a top advocacy priority by the writing committee.





Table 2. Definitions of PAD Key Terms

| Term | Definition |
|----------------|--|
| ALI | Acute (≤ 2 wk) hypoperfusion of the limb that may be characterized |
| | by: pain, pallor, pulselessness, poikilothermia, paresthesias, an |
| | paralysis. |
| | ALI is further classified according to the Rutherford classificatio |
| | system (Table 4): |
| Anatomic level | Anatomic subsets to localize disease in the lower extremity. Patie |
| | with PAD can have multilevel arterial disease across multiple |
| | segments. |
| | • Aortoiliac—Includes infrarenal abdominal aorta, common ili |
| | and external and internal iliac arteries. |
| | • Femoropopliteal—Includes common femoral, profunda femo |
| | superficial femoral, and popliteal arteries. |
| | • Infrapopliteal—Includes tibial-peroneal trunk, anterior tibial |
| | artery, posterior tibial artery, peroneal artery, plantar pedal lo |
| | and pedal vessels (common plantar, medial plantar, and latera |
| | plantar arteries). |

| | AMERICAN COLLEGE of CARDIOLOGY |
|----------|---|
| zed | |
| d/or | |
| n | |
| ents | |
| | |
| ac, | |
| oris, | ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major |
| op, 1 | adverse timb events; MI, myocardial infarction; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and WIfI, wound, ischemia, foot infection. |



| Angiosome-based blood flow | Uninterrupted arterial flow to the anatomic territory of a source artery in |
|----------------------------|---|
| | skin and deep tissues. In the context of PAD, the angiosome refers to |
| | skin region and underlying tissue, generally with a wound, supplied b |
| | specific infrapopliteal artery. |
| Claudication | Fatigue, cramping, aching, pain, or other discomfort of vascular origin i |
| | the muscles of the lower extremities that is consistently induced by |
| | walking and consistently relieved by rest (usually within approximate |
| | 10 min). Claudication that limits functional status is known as |
| | functionally limiting claudication. Claudication is recognized as a |
| | manifestation of chronic symptomatic PAD (see Section 2.1, |
| | "Recognizing Clinical Subsets for PAD"). |







| CLTI | A condition characterized by chronic (>2 wk) ischemic rest pain, nonheal |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | wounds and ulcers, or gangrene attributable to objectively proven arter |
| | occlusive disease. Current nomenclature has evolved from the previous |
| | commonly used term of CLI to reflect the chronic nature of this conditi |
| | and its potentially limb-threatening nature with associated risk for |
| | amputation and to distinguish it from ALI. |
| Endovascular revascularization | Catheter-based revascularization procedures employing modalities such a |
| | percutaneous transluminal (balloon) angioplasty, drug-coated balloon |
| | angioplasty, stenting (bare metal, drug coated, or covered), and |
| | atherectomy. |







| Functional status | Patient's ability to meet basic needs, fulfill usual roles, and maintain heal |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | and well-being (activities of daily living). Walking ability/performance |
| | and mobility are components of functional status. |
| Hybrid revascularization | Approach to revascularization that includes endovascular and surgical |
| | components either concomitantly or in a staged manner. |
| In-line (pulsatile) blood flow | Uninterrupted arterial flow via named infrapopliteal arteries to the foot. |
| Inflow versus outflow | Inflow refers to arteries proximal to the superficial femoral artery |
| | (aortoiliac, common femoral arteries). Outflow refers to arteries distal |
| | the superficial femoral artery (popliteal and infrapopliteal arteries). |





ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major adverse limb events; MI, myocardial infarction; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and WIfI, wound, ischemia, foot infection.



| MACE | Variably defined but usually includes death (all-cause or |
|------|---|
| | cardiovascular), MI, acute coronary syndrome (acute MI, |
| | unstable angina), and stroke. May also include heart failure, |
| | rehospitalization for cardiovascular causes, and other |
| | cardiovascular endpoints. |
| MALE | Variably defined but usually includes major amputation and |
| | endovascular or surgical lower extremity revascularization |
| | (initial or reintervention). May also include ALI. |



ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major adverse limb events; MI, myocardial infarction; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and WIfI, wound, ischemia, foot infection.



| Multispecialty care team for PAD | • | A team of professionals representing different specialties and | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | disciplines to assist in the evaluation and management of the | |
| | | patient with PAD and especially CLTI. | |
| | • | For the care of patients with CLTI, the care team should include | |
| | | individuals who are skilled in endovascular revascularization, | |
| | | surgical revascularization, wound-healing therapies and foot | ALI indicates acute limb |
| | | surgery, and medical evaluation and care. | ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; MACE, major |
| | • | Table 15 includes the list of multispecialty care team members. | adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major adverse limb events; MI, |
| | • | Patients and family members collaborate with the multispecialty | myocardial infarction; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and WIfI, |
| | | care team for management of CLTI. | wound, ischemia, foot infection. |





| Regions of the foot | • Forefoot—Extends from the tarsometatarsal joint and incorporates |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | phalanges, metatarsal, and sesamoid bones. |
| | • Midfoot—Begins at the transverse tarsal joint and extends to the |
| | tarsometatarsal joint, incorporating the navicular, cuboid, and cune |
| | bones. |
| | • Hindfoot—Begins at the ankle joint and ends at the transverse tarsa |
| | incorporating the calcaneus and talus bones. |
| Structured exercise program | An exercise program planned by a qualified health care professional th |
| | provides recommendations for exercise training with a goal of impro |
| | functional status over time. The program provides individualized |
| | recommendations for frequency, intensity, time, and type of exercise |







| Structured community-based exercise | A structured exercise program that takes place in the personal setting of the patient (eg, |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| program | home, surrounding neighborhood, fitness facility). The program is self-directed with |
| | as-needed guidance of health care professionals who prescribe a structured exercise |
| | regimen similar to that performed in a supervised program setting. Community-based |
| | programs may incorporate behavioral change techniques, delivered by in-person or |
| | virtual health coaching or the use of activity monitors. Table 14 provides more detail |
| | regarding this form of structured exercise. |
| Supervised exercise therapy | A supervised, structured exercise program that takes place in a hospital or outpatient |
| | facility that is directly supervised by a physician or advanced practice provider and |
| | most often implemented by a clinical exercise physiologist or nurse. Table 14 includes |
| | more detail regarding this form of structured exercise. |



ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major adverse limb events; MI, myocardial infarction; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and WIfI, wound, ischemia, foot infection.



| Surgical revascularization | Surgical procedures that may involve endarterectomy to remove plaque, thrombecton |
|----------------------------|---|
| | bypass surgery to reconstruct arterial blood flow. |
| Thrombolysis | Administration of thrombolytic agents, generally through a catheter placed directly w |
| | an area of thrombus in an artery. |
| Tissue loss | • Minor—Nonhealing ulcer, focal gangrene. |
| | • Major—Tissue loss extending above the transmetatarsal level; functional foot no |
| | longer salvageable. |
| WIfI | • A clinical staging system for patients with CLTI that incorporates the wound external |
| | degree of ischemia, and severity of foot infection. |
| | • WIfI class correlates with CLTI outcomes, including time to wound healing, |
| | amputation rate, and amputation-free survival. |







Table 3. Applying American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Class of Recommendation and Level of Evidence to Clinical Strategies, Interventions, Treatments, or Diagnostic Testing in Patient Care* (Updated May 2019)

CLASS (STRENGTH) OF RECOMMENDATION

CLASS 1 (STRONG) Benefit >>> Risk Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:

Is recommended

- Is indicated/useful/effective/beneficial
- Should be performed/administered/other
- Comparative-Effectiveness Phrasest:
- Treatment/strategy A is recommended/indicated in preference to treatment B
- Treatment A should be chosen over treatment B

CLASS 2a (MODERATE)

Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:

- Is reasonable
- Can be useful/effective/beneficial
- Comparative-Effectiveness Phrasest:
 - Treatment/strategy A is probably recommended/indicated in preference to treatment B
 - It is reasonable to choose treatment A over treatment B

CLASS 2b (WEAK)

Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:

- May/might be reasonable
- May/might be considered
- Usefulness/effectiveness is unknown/unclear/uncertain or not wellestablished

CLASS 3: No Benefit (MODERATE) Benefit = Risk (Generally, LOE A or B use only)

Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:

- Is not recommended
- Is not indicated/useful/effective/beneficial
- Should not be performed/administered/other

CLASS 3: Harm (STRONG)

Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:

- Potentially harmful
- Causes harm
- Associated with excess morbidity/mortality
- Should not be performed/administered/other

LEVEL (QUALITY) OF EVIDENCE[‡]

LEVEL A

- High-quality evidencet from more than 1 RCT
- · Meta-analyses of high-quality RCTs
- One or more RCTs corroborated by high-quality registry studies

LEVEL B-R

- Moderate-quality evidencet from 1 or more RCTs
- Meta-analyses of moderate-guality RCTs

LEVEL B-NR

Benefit >> Risk

Benefit ≥ Risk

Risk > Benefit

- · Moderate-quality evidencet from 1 or more well-designed, wellexecuted nonrandomized studies, observational studies, or registry studies
- Meta-analyses of such studies

LEVEL C-LD

- Randomized or nonrandomized observational or registry studies with limitations of design or execution
- Meta-analyses of such studies
- Physiological or mechanistic studies in human subjects

LEVEL C-EO

Consensus of expert opinion based on clinical experience

COR and LOE are determined independently (any COR may be paired with any LOE).

A recommendation with LOE C does not imply that the recommendation is weak. Many important clinical questions addressed in guidelines do not lend themselves to clinical trials. Although RCTs are unavailable, there may be a very clear clinical consensus that a particular test or therapy is useful or effective.

- * The outcome or result of the intervention should be specified (an improved clinical outcome or increased diagnostic accuracy or incremental prognostic information).
- For comparative-effectiveness recommendations (COR 1 and 2a; LOE A and B only), studies that support the use of comparator verbs should involve direct comparisons of the treatments or strategies being evaluated.
- ‡ The method of assessing quality is evolving, including the application of standardized, widely-used, and preferably validated evidence grading tools; and for systematic reviews, the incorporation of an Evidence Review Committee.

COR indicates Class of Recommendation; EO, expert opinion; LD, limited data; LOE, Level of Evidence; NR, nonrandomized; R, randomized; and RCT, randomized controlled trial.



(Randomized)

(Nonrandomized)

(Limited Data)

(Expert Opinion)





Clinical Assessment for PAD







Figure 1. Clinical Subsets of PAD.



ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; and PAD, peripheral artery disease.









Table 4. Clinical Subsets of Patients With PAD

| Description/Characterization |
|--|
| • Depending on the population assessed and method of assessment, 20%–59% of p |
| proven PAD report no leg symptoms. |
| • Patients classified as having asymptomatic PAD may self-limit and adapt their ac |
| their ischemic threshold to avoid leg pain. |
| • A significant percentage of patients with asymptomatic PAD who report no exert |
| develop symptoms during an objective walking test. |
| • The prevalence of asymptomatic PAD varies depending on whether patients are n |
| care or community setting (lower %) versus a vascular laboratory (higher %). |
| • Patients with PAD who are asymptomatic have functional impairment comparable |
| claudication. |
| • Associated with increased risk of MACE including mortality. |
| |
| |

ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; PAD, peripheral artery disease; RCT, randomized controlled trial; and WIfI, wound, ischemia, and foot infection.



patients with objectively

ctivity to remain below

tional leg symptoms

recruited from a primary

le to patients with



Chronic symptomatic PAD Most common clinically evident subset of PAD; patients report claudication or other nonjoint-related (includes claudication and exertional leg symptoms that can limit walking performance. other ischemia-related Exertional leg symptoms (typical claudication or other) reported in up to 80% of patients with objectively \bullet proven PAD, depending on case series. exertional leg symptoms) Includes ischemia-related exertional leg symptoms, not present at rest, generally increasing with progressive exercise intensity and quickly relieved by rest (within 10 min). Typical claudication symptoms may be described as a pain, aching, cramping, or tired/fatigued feeling located in the buttocks, thigh, calf, or foot that occurs consistently during walking, does not start at rest, does not improve during walking, and is usually relieved within approximately 10 min of rest. Leg symptom descriptors also include tingling, numbness, burning, throbbing, or shooting. For some patients, exertional leg symptoms due to PAD are not typical of claudication because they may not limit walking or may take >10 min to resolve after rest. Chronic symptomatic PAD is associated with significant functional (walking) impairment, regardless of whether symptoms are typical of claudication.

ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; PAD, peripheral artery disease; RCT, randomized controlled trial; and WIfI, wound, ischemia, and foot infection.





| CLTI | • Severe clinical subset of PAD. |
|------|--|
| | • Among patients with known PAD, incidence of CLTI estimated to be between 11% |
| | • Manifests as ischemic rest pain, nonhealing wounds/ulcers, or gangrene with symp |
| | • Responsible for most major and minor limb amputations related to PAD. |
| | • Historically estimated 1-y mortality rate of 25%–35% and 1-y rate of amputation u |
| | presenting with CLTI. |
| | • Lower rates of mortality and amputation reported in a recent RCT of patients with |
| | revascularization. |
| | • Ischemic rest pain often affects the forefoot and is worsened with limb elevation and |
| | • Among vascular specialists, the Fontaine and Rutherford ²⁴ classification systems a |
| | categorize severity of CLTI. |
| | • The WIfI classification estimates risk of lower extremity amputation according to |
| | ischemia, and presence of foot infection and has been shown to correlate with clini |

ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; PAD, peripheral artery disease; RCT, randomized controlled trial; and WIfI, wound, ischemia, and foot infection.



% and 20%.

ptoms present for >2 wk.

up to 30% among patients

CLTI undergoing

nd relieved by dependency. are most commonly used to

wound extent, severity of ical outcomes.



Table 4. Clinical Subsets of Patients With PAD (con't.)

| ALI | • Severe clinical subset of PAD. |
|-----|---|
| | • In a contemporary RCT of patients with symptomatic PAD who were observed for a |
| | incidence of ALI was 1.7%, or 0.8/100 patient-years. Previous lower extremity reva |
| | fibrillation, lower ABI values associated with increased risk of ALI in this population |
| | • Sudden decrease in arterial perfusion of the leg that threatens the viability of the lim |
| | • Acute clinical symptoms (<2 wk duration) include pain, pallor, pulselessness, poikil |
| | paresthesias, and potential for paralysis. |
| | Causes of ALI include embolism, thrombosis within native artery or at site of previous or stent), trauma, peripheral aneurysm with distal embolization, or thrombosis (Tab Timing of presentation may vary depending on the underlying etiology. |
| | • The status of the leg in ALL is further classified according to the Rutherford classified |
| | Class I. Viable (limb not immediately threatened)—No sensory loss; no motor l venous Doppler signals. |
| | • Class IIa. Salvageable/marginally threatened (limb salvageable if promptly trea |
| | sensory loss (limited to toes) but no motor loss, often inaudible arterial Doppler signals. |
| | • Class IIb. Salvageable/immediately threatened (limb salvageable if urgently tre |
| | involving more than the toes; mild-moderate motor weakness. Inaudible arteria |
| | Doppler signals. |
| | • Class III. Irreversible (major tissue loss or permanent nerve damage inevitable) |
| | (anesthetic); complete loss of motor function (paralysis); inaudible arterial and |

ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; PAD, peripheral artery disease; RCT, randomized controlled trial; and WIfI, wound, ischemia, and foot infection.



```
a mean of 30 mo, the
ascularization, atrial
on.
nb.
lothermia (coolness),
```

ous revascularization (graft **ble 20**).

cation system. loss; audible arterial and

ated)—Mild-to-moderate r but audible venous Doppler

eated)—Sensory loss l but audible venous

—Complete sensory loss venous Doppler signals.



History and Physical Examination to Assess for PAD

Recommendations for History and Physical Examination to Assess for PAD

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations | | | |
|-----|------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | B-NR | 1. In patients at increased risk of PAD (Table 5), a comprehensive medic and review of symptoms to assess for exertional leg symptoms, lower or rest pain, and lower extremity wounds or other ischemic skin changes be performed. | | | |
| 1 | B-NR | 2. In patients at increased risk of PAD (Table 5), a comprehensive vascul examination and inspection of the legs and feet should be performed r (Table 6). | | | |







Table 5. Patients at Increased Risk for PAD

● Age ≥65 y

- Age 50–64 y, with risk factors for atherosclerosis (eg, diabetes, history of smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension), chronic kidney disease, or family history of PAD
- Age <50 y, with diabetes and 1 additional risk factor for

atherosclerosis

• Individuals with known atherosclerotic disease in another vascular bed (eg, coronary, carotid, subclavian, renal, mesenteric artery stenosis, or AAA)

AAA indicates abdominal aortic aneurysm; and PAD, peripheral artery disease.





Table 6. History and Physical Examination Findings Suggestive of PAD

| | History | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | Claudication | | | | | |
| | • Pain type: Aching, burning, cramping, discomfort, or fatigue | | | | | |
| | Location: Buttock, thigh, calf, or ankle | | | | | |
| | • Onset/offset: Distance, exercise, uphill, how long for relief after rest | | | | | |
| | (typically <10 min for typical claudication) | | | | | |
| • | Other nonjoint-related exertional lower extremity symptoms (not typical of | | | | | |
| | claudication) or symptoms of impaired walking function | | | | | |
| | • Lower extremity muscular discomfort associated with walking that | | | | | |
| | requires >10 min rest to resolve | | | | | |
| | • Leg weakness, numbness, or fatigue during walking without pain | | | | | |
| • | Ischemic rest pain | | | | | |
| • | History of nonhealing or slow-healing lower extremity wound | | | | | |
| • | Erectile dysfunction | | | | | |
| | Physical Examination | | | | | |
| • | Abnormal lower extremity pulse palpation (femoral, popliteal, dorsalis | | | | | |
| | pedis, or posterior tibial arteries) | | | | | |
| • | Vascular bruit (eg, epigastric, periumbilical, groin) | | | | | |
| • | Nonhealing lower extremity wound | | | | | |
| • | Lower extremity gangrene | | | | | |
| • | Other physical findings suggestive of ischemia (eg, asymmetric hair | | | | | |
| | growth, nail bed changes, calf muscle atrophy, or elevation | | | | | |
| | pallor/dependent rubor) | | | | | |



PAD indicates peripheral artery disease.



Table 7. Alternative Diagnosis for Leg Pain or Claudication Not Related to PAD (Normal Physiological Testing)

| Condition | Location | Characteristic | Effect of Exercise | Effect of Rest | Effect of Position | Other Characteristics |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|--|
| Hip arthritis | Lateral hip, thigh | Aching discomfort | After variable degree of exercise | Not quickly relieved | Improved when not bearing weight | Symptoms variable; history of degenerative arthritis |
| Foot/ankle arthritis | Ankle, foot, arch | Aching pain | After variable degree of exercise; may also be present at rest | Not quickly relieved | May be relieved by not bearing weight | Symptoms variable |
| Nerve root compression | Radiates down leg | Sharp lancinating pain | Induced by sitting, standing, or walking (variable) | Often present at rest | Improved by change in position | History of back problems; worse with sitting; relief when supine or standing |

PAD indicates peripheral artery disease.





Table 7. Alternative Diagnosis for Leg Pain or Claudication Not Related to PAD (Normal Physiological Testing) (con't.)

| Condition | Location | Characteristic | Effect of Exercise | Effect of Rest | Effect of Position | Other Characteristics |
|---|---|----------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Spinal stenosis (eg, degenerative disc disease or tumor) | Often bilateral buttocks, posterior leg | Pain and weakness | May mimic claudication | Variable relief but can take a long time to recover | Relief by lumbar spine flexion | Worse with standing and extending spine |
| Symptomatic popliteal (Baker's) cyst | Behind knee, down calf | Swelling, tenderness | With exercise | Also present at rest | None | Not intermittent |
| Venous claudication | Entire leg, worse in calf | Tight, bursting pain | After walking | Subsides slowly | Relief speeded by leg elevation | History of iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis; edema; signs of venous stasis |
| Chronic compartment syndrome | Calf muscles | Tight, bursting pain | After strenuous exercise (jogging) | Subsides very slowly | Relief with rest | Typically heavy muscled athletes |

PAD indicates peripheral artery disease.





Diagnostic Testing for PAD






Figure 2. Algorithm for Diagnostic Testing for PAD.

Colors correspond to Table 3.

ABI indicates ankle-brachial index; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; CTA, computed tomography angiography; GDMT, guideline-directed management and therapy; MRA, magnetic resonance angiography; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PVR, pulse volume recording, SPP, skin perfusion pressure; TBI, toebrachial index; and TcPO2, transcutaneous oxygen pressure.





| TBI Interpretation | | |
|--------------------|-------|--|
| Normal | >0.70 | |
| Abnormal | ≤0.70 | |

* If not already performed.



| >1.40 | |
|---------|--|
| า าร | |
| | |
| r SPP | |



Resting ABI and Additional Physiological Testing

| Recommendations for Resting ABI and Additional Physiological Testing | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Referer | Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supple | | |
| | | Resting ABI | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendations | |
| 1 | B-NR | 1. In patients with history or physical examination findings suggestive (Table 6), the resting ABI, with or without ankle pulse volume recor (PVR) and/or Doppler waveforms, is recommended to establish the | |
| 1 | B-NR | 2. The resting ABI should be reported as abnormal (ABI, ≤0.90), bord (ABI, 0.91–0.99), normal (ABI, 1.00–1.40), or noncompressible (ABI | |







Resting ABI and Additional Physiological Testing (con't.)

| 2a | B-NR | 3. In patients at increased risk of PAD (Table 5), screening for PAD wresting ABI, with or without ankle PVR and/or Doppler waveform reasonable. |
|------------------|------|--|
| 3: No Benefit | B-NR | 4. In patients not at increased risk of PAD (Table 5) and without histophysical examination findings suggestive of PAD (Table 6), screeni PAD with the ABI is not recommended. |







Resting ABI and Additional Physiological Testing (con't.)

| Exercise ABI and Additional Physiological Testing | | |
|---|------|--|
| 1 | B-NR | 5. In patients with suspected PAD, toe pressure/toe-brachial index (TBI) with |
| | | waveforms should be performed when the resting ABI is >1.40 (noncompressible). |
| 1 | B-NR | 6. Patients with suspected chronic symptomatic PAD (ie, exertional nonjoint-related leg symptoms) and normal or borderline resting ABI (>0.90 and ≤1.40, respectively) should undergo exercise treadmill ABI testing to evaluate for PAD. |
| | | |
| 2a | B-NR | 7. In patients with PAD and an abnormal resting ABI (≤0.90), the exercise treadmill ABI test can be useful to objectively assess, the functional status and walking |
| | | performance. |





Resting ABI and Additional Physiological Testing (con't.)

| 2a | C-LD | 8. In patients with chronic symptomatic PAD, it is reasonable to perform leg pressures with PVR and/or Doppler waveforms in addition to the help delineate the anatomic level of PAD. |
|------------|-------------|---|
| 2 a | B-NR | 9. In patients with suspected CLTI, it is reasonable to use toe pressure/T waveforms, transcutaneous oxygen pressure (TcPO ₂), and/or or skin p pressure (SPP) in addition to ABI for assessment of arterial perfusion establish the diagnosis of CLTI. |
| 2 a | B-NR | 10. In patients with CLTI with nonhealing wounds or gangrene, it can be toe pressure/TBI with waveforms, TcPO ₂ , SPP, and/or other local perf measures to determine the likelihood of wound healing without or after revascularization. |



n segmental

resting ABI to

BI with

perfusion

and to

useful to use

fusion

er



Table 8. Alternative Diagnoses for Nonhealing Lower Extremity Wounds With Normal Physiological Testing (Not PAD Related)

| Condition | Location | Characteristics and Causes |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Autoimmune injury | Toes, foot, leg | With blisters (eg, pemphigoid, pemphigus, epidermolysis bullosa) Without blisters (eg, dermatomyositis, lupus, scleroderma) |
| Infection | Toes, foot, leg | Bacterial (eg, <i>Pseudomonas</i>, necrotizing <i>Streptococcus</i>) Fungal (eg, blastomycosis, Madura foot, chromomycosis) Mycobacterial Parasitic (eg, Chagas, leishmaniasis) Viral (eg, herpes) |
| Inflammatory ulcer | Toes, foot, leg | Necrobiosis lipoidicaPyoderma gangrenosumGranuloma annulare |
| Local injury | Toes, foot, leg | TraumaInsect or animal biteBurn |





Table 8. Alternative Diagnoses for Nonhealing Lower Extremity Wounds With Normal Physiological Testing (Not PAD Related) (con't.)

| Condition | Location | Characteristics and Causes |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Malignancy | Toes, foot, leg | Primary skin malignancy Metastatic malignancy Malignant transformation of ulcer |
| Medication- related ulcer | Toes, foot, leg | Drug reactions (eg, erythema multiforme) Medication direct toxicity (eg, doxorubicin, hydroxyurea, some tyrosine kinase inhibitors) |
| Neuropathic ulcer | Pressure zones of foot | Hyperkeratosis surrounds the ulcer Diabetes with peripheral neuropathy Peripheral neuropathy without diabetes Leprosy |
| Venous ulcer | Distal leg, especially above medial malleolus | Develops in regions of skin changes due to chronic venous disease and local venous hypertension Typically wet (ie, wound drainage) rather than dry lesion |





Imaging for PAD

Recommendations for Imaging for PAD

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|--|
| 1 | B-NR | 1. In patients with functionally limiting claudication with inadequate respondent GDMT (including structured exercise) for whom revascularization is be considered, duplex ultrasound, computed tomography angiography (CT resonance angiography (MRA), or catheter angiography of the lower ex- useful for assessment of anatomy and severity of disease and to determin revascularization strategy. |







Imaging for PAD (con't.)

| 1 | B-NR | 2. In patients with CLTI, duplex ultrasound, CTA, MRA, or catheter angiography is useful to determine revascularization strategy. |
|---------|------|--|
| 2b | С-ЕО | 3. In patients with suspected PAD (ie, potential signs and/or symptoms) inconclusive ABI and physiological testing, noninvasive imaging with duplex ultrasound, CTA, or MRA may be considered to establish the diagnosis of PAD. |
| 3: Harm | B-NR | 4. In patients with a confirmed diagnosis of PAD in whom revasculariz not being considered, CTA, MRA, or catheter angiography should no performed solely for anatomic assessment. |







Special Considerations in PAD: Risk Amplifiers, Health Disparities, and PAD in Older Patients







Amplifiers of Cardiovascular and Limb-Related Risk in Patients With PAD

| | Recommendation for Amplifiers of Cardiovascular and Limb-Related Risk in | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | | Patients With PAD | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendation | | |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 1. In the evaluation of patients with PAD, clinicians should assess fo and incorporate the presence of PAD-related risk amplifiers (Table 9) when developing patient-focused treatment recommendations. | | |







Table 9. PAD-Related Risk Amplifiers

| Risk Factor | Epidemiology | Data Supporting Amplified Risk (MA MALE, or Both) |
|---|---|--|
| Older age (ie, ≥75 y) | See Section 4.3, | See Section 4.3, "Considerations in |
| | "Considerations in | Management of PAD in Older Patien |
| | Management of PAD in | |
| | Older Patients" | |
| Diabetes (see Section 5.5, "Diabetes Management for PAD") | Among patients with diabetes, up to 20% of patients >40 y of age, 30% >50 y of age, and 70% >70 y of age have PAD. | Diabetes is associated with a higher risk cause death (HR, 1.35 [95% CI, 1.15 and MACE (HR, 1.47 [95% CI, 1.23 Among patients undergoing endovascu revascularization, those with diabete presented more commonly with CLT versus 25.5% for those without diabe (<i>P</i><0.001). Diabetes is associated with a greater risk lower extremity amputation (adjusted 5.48 [95% CI, 4.16–7.22]). |







| Ongoing smoking | 80%–90% of patients | Ongoing smoking is associated |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| and use of other | revascularized for | with a significant increase in |
| forms of tobacco | severe limb | PAD-related hospitalizations, |
| (see Section 5.4, | symptoms are | revascularization procedures, |
| "Smoking | current smokers. | and health care costs. |
| Cessation for | OR 2.4 for | |
| PAD") | developing | The 5-y mortality rate with active |
| | symptomatic PAD | smoking and chronic |
| | in current | symptomatic PAD is 40%–50%. |
| | smokers. | |





| - | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | CKD | Up to 25% of patients with CKD have | CKD is associated with higher rates of |
| • | Estimated glomerular filtration rate | PAD. | death, MI, and ischemic stroke (6.7 |
| | <60 mL/min/1.73 m ² . | | patient-years; adjusted HR, 1.45 [95 |
| | | In a cohort study of >40,000 patients with | |
| | | PAD, 20.2% had CKD stages 2 to 5. | The rates of all-cause death, cardiovas complications, including amputation with CKD and PAD than those with |
| | | | Patients with CKD have a 1.8-fold hig fold increased risk of MI. |
| | | | Despite a high risk of MACE, in the E of PAD and CKD was not associate MALE, hospitalization for ALI, or 1 HR, 0.92 [95% CI, 0.66–1.28]) com Revascularization for CLTI in patients mortality rate (3.7% versus 5.3%; a 0.72–0.84]) and major amputation r CI, 0.32–0.35]; <i>P</i> <0.001) compared |
| | | | Endovascular revascularization for CL hospital mortality rate compared wi revascularization (2.7% versus 4.7% |



of the composite cardiovascular 75 versus 3.72 events/100 5% CI, 1.30–1.63]).

scular events, and lower-limb n, are higher among patients n only CKD.

gher risk of CAD and a 2.5-

EUCLID trial, the combination ed with an increased risk of major amputation (adjusted npared with PAD alone. s with CKD has a lower adjusted OR, 0.78 [95% CI, rate (adjusted OR, 0.33 [95% d with no revascularization.

LTI with CKD has a lower inith open surgical % [95% CI, 1.43–1.94]).



| ESKD (ie, dialysis | Up to 45% of patients | The 5-y survival rate among those with |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--|
| dependence) | on dialysis have | PAD after renal transplantation is |
| • Most advanced | PAD. | 19% versus 48% (<i>P</i> <0.001). |
| stage of CKD | | |
| (stage 5) | | ESKD and PAD are associated with a |
| | | higher risk of lower extremity |
| | | amputation and readmission after |
| | | revascularization than in patients |
| | | with CKD and PAD. |
| | | |
| | | Among patients with ESKD undergoing |
| | | lower extremity bypass, rates of limb |
| | | salvage are lower compared with |
| | | kidney transplant recipients. |







| Po | lyvascular disease | Up to 45% of patients with known atherosclerotic disease or atherosclerotic | Patients with PAD and CAD had a higher r (adjusted HR, 1.35 [95% CI, 1.02–1.80] |
|--|--|---|--|
| • Atheroscleros coronary, peri cerebrovascul | Atherosclerosis within ≥2 arterial beds: coronary, peripheral artery, or cerebrovascular | atherosclerotic disease or atherosclerotic risk factors have polyvascular disease. Among 879 patients with PAD undergoing lower extremity angiography before revascularization, 52% had underlying CAD (abnormal coronary angiography or stress test). | Patients with PAD and CAD had a higher r (adjusted HR, 1.35 [95% CI, 1.02–1.80] CAD. In the EUCLID trial of 13,885 patients with antiplatelet therapy, MI occurred in 4.9% median follow-up of 30 mo. In adults >60 y of age with a first ischemic independently associated with increased [95% CI, 1.10–6.95]). Polyvascular disease and diabetes have the (60%), with a stepwise increase in MAC otherapedentic exterior had from 1.47 to a stepwise increase in MAC otherapedentic exterior had a migher r (adjusted from 1.47 to a stepwise increase in MAC additional context of the stepwise increase in MAC otherapedentic exterior is a stepwise increase in MAC additional context of the stepwise increase in MAC otherapedentic exterior is a stepwise increase in MAC additional context of the stepwise increase in the stepwise increase in |
| | | | Higher rates of lower extremity revasculari amputation, were seen with polyvascula The risk of MALE was reduced in patients with aspirin and rivaroxaban in stable, c after lower extremity revascularization (|



risk of all-cause death over 5 y]) compared with those with only

ch PAD, despite treatment with% of the study participants over a

e stroke, symptomatic PAD was l risk of vascular events (HR, 2.76

e highest cardiovascular event rate CE with each additional p 2.33 to 3.12 (trend, *P*=0.0001).

ization, but not ALI or major ar disease in the EUCLID trial.

with polyvascular disease treated chronic PAD (COMPASS trial) or (VOYAGER PAD trial).



ABI indicates ankle-brachial index; ALI, acute limb ischemia; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; COMPASS, Cardiovascular Outcomes for People Using Anticoagulation Strategies; ESKD, end-stage renal disease; EUCLID, Examining Use of Ticagrelor in Peripheral Artery Disease; HR, hazard ratio; ICD, International Classification of Diseases: MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major adverse limb events; MI, myocardial infarction; OR, odds ratio; PAD, peripheral artery disease; VA, US Department of Veterans Affairs; and VOYAGER PAD, Vascular Outcomes Study of ASA (acetylsalicylic acid) Along with Rivaroxaban in Endovascular or Surgical Limb Revascularization for PAD.





Figure 3. Health Disparities and PAD-Related Risk Amplifiers Increase Risk of MACE and MALE.

MACE indicates major adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major adverse limb events; and PAD, peripheral artery disease.







Health Disparities in PAD

| | Recommendation for Health Disparities in PAD | |
|-----|---|---|
| COR | LOE | Recommendation |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 1. Clinicians and health care systems should actively pursue evidence of health disparities in diagnosis, treatment, and outcomes for patients with PAD and use efforts to limit the impact of these disparities on clinical outcomes. |







Considerations in Management of PAD in Older Patients

| Recommendation for Management of PAD in Older Patients Referenced studies that support the recommendation are summarized in the Online Data Supple | | |
|--|-------------|--|
| COR | LOE | Recommendation |
| 2a | B-NR | 1. In older patients (ie, ≥75 years of age) with PAD, assessment for gerian syndromes (Table 10), such as frailty, sarcopenia, malnutrition, and m impairment, can be useful to identify high-risk patients, including befor revascularization, and to provide safe and goal-concordant care. |







Table 10. Geriatric Syndromes and Considerations in the Management of PAD in Older Patients

| Consideration | Description and Characterization |
|---------------|---|
| Frailty | Can be assessed among patients with PAD using measures such as the Clinical Frailty Scale, the modified Frailty Index, the Risk Analysis Index, and others. Elevated rates of MACE associated with frailty and claudication. Two-y survival rate was reduced depending on degree of frailty in patients undergoing revascularization for CLTI. Frailty is highly predictive of 30-d mortality rate for all PAD revascularization procedures. |
| Sarcopenia | Age-related loss of muscle mass Sarcopenia was 10 times more prevalent in those with PAD than age-matched controls without PAD. Sarcopenia is associated with lower survival rate and higher risk of MACE and MALE. Patients with sarcopenia are at increased risk for muscle mass loss in the lower extremities. |





ACE indicates angiotensinenzyme converting; ARB, angiotensin-receptor blocker; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; GDMT, guidelinedirected management and therapy; GNRI, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major adverse limb events; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and QOL, quality of life.



Table 10. Geriatric Syndromes and Considerations in the Management of PAD in Older Patients (con't.)

| Malnutrition | Common in older patients with PAD, affecting up to 50% of individuals. Five-y survival rate in those with PAD is directly related to GNRI stratification of nutritional risk. In patients with CLTI, 30-d mortality was 5 times higher in those with severe malnutrition compared with those with moderate or no malnutrition. Five-y amputation-free survival rate in patients undergoing surgical revascularization for CLTI was worsened relative to poorer nutritional status. |
|---------------------|---|
| Mobility impairment | The presence of PAD was associated with poor physical function compared with those without PAD. Ambulatory patients >75 y of age with PAD were 13.51-fold more likely to experience functionally limiting pain than those without PAD. Patients >65 y of age with PAD had a more rapid decline in life-space mobility and a higher mortality rate than those without PAD. |



ACE indicates angiotensinenzyme converting; ARB, angiotensin-receptor blocker; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; GDMT, guidelinedirected management and therapy; GNRI, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MALE, major adverse limb events; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and QOL, quality of life.



Table 10. Geriatric Syndromes and Considerations in the Management of PAD in Older Patients (con't.)

| Revascularization considerations | Age >80 y was associated with an increased mortality rate after endovascular or surgical revascularization for infrainguinal PAD. Among patients ≥70 y of age with CLTI, those with dependent functional status had a higher mortality rate than those with independent functional status after infrainguinal bypass surgery. Older patients were less likely to be prescribed GDMT (including antiplatelet therapy, statin, and ACE inhibitor/ARB) than those 10 y younger after endovascular revascularization. In patients >70 y of age with CLTI and <2-y predicted survival, a comparison of treatment with medical therapy, endovascular, or surgical revascularization showed no difference in QOL or health status outcomes. |
|-------------------------------------|--|







Table 10. Geriatric Syndromes and Considerations in the Management of PAD in Older Patients (con't.)

| Impact of amputation | Morbidity and mortality rates associated with amputation in older patients are exceptionally high, and mortality rates increased by approximately 4% for every year of age. In older patients with CLTI at high risk for surgery, infrainguinal bypass conferred lower risk of a 30-d mortality rate than amputation. In patients >70 y of age treated for CLTI, 46 of 200 patients underwent amputation within 1 y (23%), with significant improvement in QOL at 6 and 12 mo but no difference in objective measures of health status. |
|----------------------|--|
| Polypharmacy | Typically described as prescribing ≥5 medications. Increasingly common in older patients (24% of older patients in 2000, and 39% of older adults in 2012). Tailoring of medical therapies and shared decisionmaking are strategies to minimize impact of polypharmacy in older patients with PAD. |







Medical Therapy and Preventive Footcare for Patients With PAD

















Colors correspond to Table 3.

(Chronic symptomatic, including claudication, and CLTI)

Recent revascularization

(endovascular or surgical)

Antiplatelet and

antithrombotic therapy

DAPT:

(2a)

DAPT:

after surgical

(2b)







Antiplatelet and Antithrombotic Therapy for PAD

Recommendations for Antiplatelet and Antithrombotic Therapy for PAD Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement. COR LOE **Recommendations** 1. In patients with symptomatic PAD, single antiplatelet therapy is recommended 1 Α to reduce the risk of MACE. In patients with symptomatic PAD, single antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel 2. **B-R** 1 alone (75 mg daily) is recommended to reduce the risk of MACE. In patients with symptomatic PAD, single antiplatelet therapy with aspirin 3. C-LD alone (range, 75–325 mg daily) is recommended to reduce the risk of MACE.







Antiplatelet and Antithrombotic Therapy for PAD (con't.)

| | | 4. In patients with symptomatic PAD, low-dose rivaroxaban (2.5 mg twi |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | Α | daily) combined with low-dose aspirin is effective to reduce the risk o |
| | | MACE and MALE. |
| 1 | B-R | 5. After endovascular or surgical revascularization for PAD, antiplatele |
| | | therapy is recommended. |
| | | 6. After endovascular or surgical revascularization for PAD, low-dose |
| 1 | A | rivaroxaban (2.5 mg twice daily) combined with low-dose aspirin is |
| | | recommended to reduce the risk of MACE and MALE. |
| | | 7. After endovascular revascularization for PAD, dual antiplatelet thera |
| 2a | C-LD | a P2Y12 antagonist and low-dose aspirin is reasonable for at least 1 t |
| | | months. |







Antiplatelet and Antithrombotic Therapy for PAD (con't.)

| | | 8. After endovascular or surgical revascularization in patients with PAD |
|----|------|---|
| 2a | C-LD | require full-intensity anticoagulation for another indication and are no |
| | | high risk of bleeding, adding single antiplatelet therapy is reasonable. |
| 2a | C-EO | 9. In patients with asymptomatic PAD single antiplatelet therapy is reaso |
| | | to reduce the risk of MACE. |
| 2b | B-R | 10. In patients with symptomatic PAD without recent revascularization, th |
| | | benefit of dual antiplatelet therapy is uncertain. |
| 2b | B-R | 11. In patients with symptomatic PAD, the benefit of vorapaxar added to |
| | | existing antiplatelet therapy is uncertain. |







Antiplatelet and Antithrombotic Therapy for PAD (con't.)

| 2b | B-R | 12. After surgical revascularization for PAD with a prosthetic graft, dual antiplatelet therapy with a P2Y12 antagonist and low-dose aspirin may reasonable for at least 1 month. |
|------------|-----|---|
| 3: Harm | A | 13. In patients with PAD without another indication (eg, atrial fibrillation) intensity oral anticoagulation should not be used to reduce the risk of I and MALE. |







Lipid-Lowering Therapy for PAD

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|-----|---|
| 1 | A | In patients with PAD, treatment with high-intensity statin therapy is i with an aim of achieving a ≥50% reduction in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) level. |
| 2a | B-R | 2. In patients with PAD who are on maximally tolerated statin therapy a an LDL-C level of ≥70 mg/dL, it is reasonable to add PCSK9 inhibitor |
| 2a | B-R | 3. In patients with PAD who are on maximally tolerated statin therapy a an LDL-C level of ≥70 mg/dL, it is reasonable to add ezetimibe therap |







Table 11. High-, Moderate-, and Low-Intensity Statin Therapy*

| | High Intensity | Moderate Intensity | Low Intensity |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| LDL-C lowering [†] | ≥50% | 30%-49% | <30% |
| Statins | Atorvastatin 40 mg-80 mg | Atorvastatin 10 mg–20 mg | Simvastatin 10 mg |
| | Rosuvastatin 20 mg-40 mg | Rosuvastatin 5 mg–10 mg | |
| | | Simvastatin 20mg–40 mg‡ | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | Pravastatin 40 mg–80 mg | Pravastatin 10mg–20 m |
| | | Lovastatin 40 mg-80 mg | Lovastatin 20 mg |
| | | Fluvastatin XL 80 mg | Fluvastatin 20mg–40 m |
| | | Fluvastatin 40 mg twice daily | |
| | | Pitavastatin 1 mg–4 mg | |
| | | | |







Table 11. High-, Moderate-, and Low-Intensity Statin Therapy* (con't.)

Percent LDL-C reductions with the statin medications used in clinical practice (atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, simvastatin) were estimated using the median reduction in LDL-C from the VOYAGER database. Reductions in LDL-C for other statin medications (fluvastatin, lovastatin, pitavastatin, pravastatin) were identified according to FDA-approved product labeling in adults with dyslipidemia, primary hypercholesterolemia, and mixed dyslipidemia.

FDA indicates US Food and Drug Administration; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; RCT, randomized controlled trial; and VOYAGER PAD, Vascular Outcomes Study of ASA [acetylsalicylic acid] Along with Rivaroxaban in Endovascular or Surgical Limb Revascularization for Peripheral Artery Disease.





Antihypertensive Therapy for PAD

Recommendations for Antihypertensive Therapy for PAD

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|-----|---|
| 1 | Α | 1. In patients with PAD and hypertension, antihypertensive therapy should be ad reduce the risk of MACE. |
| 1 | B-R | 2. In patients with PAD and hypertension, a systolic blood pressure (SBP) goal of and a diastolic blood pressure target of <80 mm Hg is recommended. |
| 1 | B-R | 3. In patients with PAD and hypertension, the selective use of angiotensin-conver (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin-receptor blockers is recommended to reduce the MACE. |







| | | Recommendations for Smoking Cessation for PAD | | |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| Refe | Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supple | | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendations | | |
| 1 | A | 1. Patients with PAD who smoke cigarettes or use any other forms of tob | | |
| | | be advised at every visit to quit or encouraged to maintain cessation. | | |
| 1 | | 2. Patients with PAD who smoke cigarettes or use any other forms of tob | | |
| | Α | be assisted in developing a plan for quitting that includes pharmacoth | | |
| | | varenicline, bupropion, and/or nicotine replacement therapies) combi | | |
| | | counseling, and/or referral to a smoking cessation program. | | |
| 1 | | 3. Patients with PAD should be advised to avoid exposure to secondhand | | |
| | B-NR | smoke in all indoor or enclosed spaces, including work, home, transpo | | |
| | | vehicles, and public places. | | |







Diabetes Management for PAD

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|---|
| 1 | A | 1. In patients with PAD and type 2 diabetes, use of glucagon-like peptide-1 (liraglutide and semaglutide) and sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGL inhibitors (canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, and empagliflozin) are effective risk of MACE. |
| 1 | C-EO | 2. In patients with PAD, management of diabetes should be coordinated an members of the health care team. |
| 2b | B-NR | 3. In patients with PAD and diabetes, glycemic control may be beneficial to limb outcomes. |






Other Medical Therapies for Cardiovascular Risk Reduction in PAD

Recommendations for Other Medical Therapies for Cardiovascular Risk Reduction in PAD

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|---|
| 1 | C-LD | 1. Patients with PAD should receive an annual influenza vaccination. |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 2. Patients with PAD should receive the severe acute respiratory syndro coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) vaccination sequence, including the boo |
| 2a | B-R | 3. In patients at high cardiovascular risk, a diet emphasizing intake of vegetables, fruits, legumes, nuts, whole grains, and fish can be benefic reducing the risk of developing PAD and the risk of MACE. |







Other Medical Therapies for Cardiovascular Risk Reduction in PAD (con't.)

| 3: No Bonofit | B-R | 4. In patients with PAD, B-complex vitamin supplementation to lower homocysteine levels is not beneficial for prevention of MACE. |
|------------------|-----|---|
| Denent | | |
| 3: No | n n | 5. In patients with PAD, chelation therapy (eg, EDTA) is not beneficial |
| Benefit | B-R | prevention of MACE. |
| 3: No | B-R | 6. In patients with PAD, vitamin D supplementation is not beneficial for |
| Benefit | | prevention of MACE. |







Medications for Leg Symptoms in Chronic Symptomatic PAD

| Rec | Recommendations for Medications for Leg Symptoms in Chronic Symptomatic PAD | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Reference | Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supple | | | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendations | | | |
| | | Cilostazol | | | |
| 1 | Α | 1. In patients with claudication, cilostazol is recommended to improve | | | |
| | | symptoms and increase walking distance. | | | |
| 2b | B-R | 2. In patients with PAD, cilostazol may be useful to reduce restenosis a | | | |
| | | endovascular therapy for femoropopliteal disease. | | | |
| 3: Harm | C-LD | 3. In patients with PAD and congestive heart failure of any severity, | | | |
| | | cilostazol should not be administered. | | | |







Medications for Leg Symptoms in Chronic Symptomatic PAD (con't.)

| Pentoxifylline | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 3: No Benefit | B-R | 4. In patients with chronic symptomatic PAD, pentoxifylline is not recorfor treatment of claudication. | |
| | Chelation Therapy | | |
| 3: No Benefit | B-R | 5. In patients with chronic symptomatic PAD, chelation therapy is not recommended for treatment of claudication. | |







Preventive Foot Care for PAD

| | Recommendations for Preventive Foot Care for PAD | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| R | Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data | | | | |
| | | Supplement. | | | |
| COR | COR LOE Recommendations | | | | |
| 1 | C-LD | 1. In patients with PAD, providing general preventive foot self-care education to patients and their family members and support persons i recommended. | | | |
| 1 | C-EO | 2. In patients with PAD, foot inspection by a clinician at every visit is recommended. | | | |









Preventive Foot Care for PAD (con't.)

| 1 | C-LD | 3. In patients with PAD at high risk for ulcers and amputation (Table 1 |
|----|------|--|
| | | therapeutic footwear is recommended. |
| | | 4. In patients with PAD, a comprehensive foot evaluation (Table 13) |
| 1 | C-EO | should be performed at least annually to identify risk factors for ulc |
| | | and amputation. |
| 2a | B-NR | 5. In patients with PAD, referral to a foot care specialist, when availabl |
| | | is reasonable for ongoing preventive care and longitudinal surveillan |







Table 12. Risk Factors for Development of Foot Ulcers or Amputation Among Patients With PAD

History of previous foot ulcer(s) or amputation (minor or major)

Charcot or other foot deformities

Diabetes with poor glycemic control

CKD (especially if ESKD)

Peripheral neuropathy (especially with loss of protective sensation)

Corns or calluses on the feet (considered preulcerous lesions in patients with PAD)

Ongoing smoking

CKD indicates chronic kidney disease; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; and PAD, peripheral artery disease.





Table 13. Components of a Comprehensive Foot Evaluation for Patients With PAD

| History | Previous foot ulcer(s) or CLTI, amputation, Charcot deformity, calluses |
|---------|---|
| | Current symptoms of PAD or CLTI: claudication or other leg fatigue |
| | with walking, rest pain, foot ulcers |
| | Lower extremity revascularization (endovascular or surgical |
| | procedures) |
| | Cigarette or other tobacco use (current, past) |
| | Diabetes |
| | Retinopathy or visual impairment |
| | CKD |
| | Symptoms of neuropathy (ie, pain, burning, numbness in feet) |
| | History of other CVD (eg, CAD, heart failure, cerebrovascular disease) |

CAD indicates coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia; CVD, cardiovascular disease, and PAD, peripheral artery disease.







Table 13. Components of a Comprehensive Foot Evaluation for Patients With PAD (con't.)

| Physical | Evaluate skin integrity, including presence of any ulcers, calluses, or corns |
|-------------|---|
| examination | Visual inspection includes the whole foot and in between all toes |
| | Examine for foot deformity (eg, bunion, hammertoe or claw toe, abnormal |
| | foot arch, Charcot deformity) |
| | Perform neurological assessment: 10-g monofilament testing with at least |
| | other measurement: pinprick, temperature, or vibration |
| | Evaluate (palpate) pulses in the legs and feet |
| Other | Footwear: Is it ill-fitting, inadequate, or is there lack of footwear? |
| assessments | Does patient have poor foot hygiene (eg, improperly cut toenails, unwashe |
| | feet, superficial fungal infection, or unclean socks)? |
| | Does the patient have physical limitations that may hinder foot self-care (|
| | visual impairment, obesity, inability to reach feet)? |
| | Does the patient know the components of and perform self-foot care? |





CAD indicates coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CLTI, chronic limbthreatening ischemia; CVD, cardiovascular disease, and PAD, peripheral artery disease.



Exercise Therapy for PAD







Exercise Therapy for PAD

| Recommendations for Exercise Therapy for PAD | | | |
|---|------------|--|--|
| Ref | ferenced s | tudies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supple | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendations | |
| 1 | Α | 1. In patients with chronic symptomatic PAD, SET is recommended to impro | |
| | | walking performance, functional status, and QOL. | |
| | | 2. In patients with chronic symptomatic PAD, a structured community-base | |
| 1 | Α | program with behavioral change techniques is effective to improve walkin | |
| | | performance, functional status, and QOL. | |
| | | 3. In patients who have undergone revascularization for chronic symptomat | |
| 1 | Α | SET after revascularization is effective to improve walking performance, | |
| | | status, and QOL. | |







Exercise Therapy for PAD (con't.)

| 1 | B-R | 4. In patients with functionally limiting claudication, SET or a structured constant based exercise program should be offered as an initial treatment option. |
|------------|-----|--|
| 2 a | A | 5. In patients with chronic symptomatic PAD, alternative programs of nonw structured exercise therapy (eg, arm ergometry, recumbent stepping) can beneficial to improve walking performance, functional status, and QOL. |
| 2b | B-R | 6. In patients with chronic symptomatic PAD, the usefulness of structured we exercise therapy that avoids moderate to severe ischemic symptoms is understand |
| 2b | B-R | 7. In patients with chronic symptomatic PAD, the usefulness of unstructured to improve walking performance, functional status, and QOL is uncertain |







Table 14. Structured Exercise Programs for PAD

Supervised Exercise Therapy

- Primarily focuses on intermittent walking exercise on a treadmill, interspersed with rest periods when pain becomes moderate or severe.
- Program takes place in a hospital or outpatient facility and is often placed within a cardiac rehabilitation program setting; can be standalone if necessary.
- Program is directly supervised by qualified health care professional(s); generally clinical exercise physiologists or nurses with exercise training experience.
- Training is performed for a minimum of 30–45 min per 60-min session. • Supervised sessions are performed at least 3 times/wk for a minimum of 12 wk.
- Training involves intermittent bouts of walking to moderate-to-maximum claudication pain or discomfort, alternating with periods of rest, with incremental increases as function and symptoms improve. Goal is to progress to 30–45 minutes of active walking exercise during each session.
- Nontreadmill modalities (eg, stationary bicycle) can used when appropriate and continually assessed to determine when or if the patient can use a treadmill.
- Supervised exercise therapy is a covered benefit by Medicare and most commercial insurances.

PAD indicates peripheral artery disease.



Structured exercise programs are planned by qualified health care professional(s) and provide recommendations for exercise training with a goal of improving functional status over time. Structured exercise programs for PAD are classified as SET or a structured community-based exercise program. Structured communitybased exercise programs include homebased programs.



Table 14. Structured Exercise Programs for PAD (con't.)

Structured Community-Based Exercise Program

- Program takes place in the personal setting (eg, home, community, neighborhood) of the patient rather than in a clinical setting.
- Qualified health care professional(s) prescribe an exercise regimen similar to that of a supervised program.
- Program is self-directed with the guidance of qualified health care professional(s) and is generally walking-based.
- Patient counseling ensures understanding of how to begin and maintain the program and how to progress the difficulty of the walking (by increasing distance or speed).
- Program may incorporate behavioral change techniques, delivered by in-person or virtual health coaching or the use of activity monitors.
- Program may include periodic supervised exercise sessions to assess progress, reinforce adherence, and make exercise prescription alterations when appropriate.

PAD indicates peripheral artery disease.

Structured exercise programs are planned by qualified health care professional(s) and provide recommendations for exercise training with a goal of improving functional status over time. Structured exercise programs for PAD are classified as SET or a structured community-based exercise program. Structured communitybased exercise programs include homebased programs.





Revascularization for Asymptomatic PAD







Revascularization for Asymptomatic PAD

| Recommendations for Revascularization for Asymptomatic PAD | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Refe | Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supple | | | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendations | | | |
| 2a | B-NR | 1. In patients with asymptomatic PAD, it is reasonable to perform revascula procedures (endovascular or surgical) to reconstruct diseased arteries if r for the safety, feasibility, or effectiveness of other procedures (eg, transfer aortic valve replacement, mechanical circulatory support, endovascular a aneurysm repair). | | | |
| 3: Harm | B-NR | 2. In patients with asymptomatic PAD, revascularization procedures (endow or surgical) should not be performed solely to prevent progression of dise | | | |







Revascularization for Claudication (Chronic Symptomatic PAD)







Figure 5. Algorithm for Revascularization for Claudication (Chronic Symptomatic PAD).

Colors correspond to Table 3.

GDMT indicates guideline-directed management and therapy; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and QOL, quality of life.







| Recommendations for Revascularization for Claudication | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Refe | Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supple | | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendations | | |
| | | Revascularization for Claudication: Initial Decision-Making | | |
| | | 1. In patients with functionally limiting claudication who are being conside | | |
| 1 | B-NR | revascularization, potential benefits with respect to QOL, walking perfo | | |
| | | and overall functional status should be weighed against the risks and du | | |
| | | intervention and possible need for repeated procedures. | | |
| | | 2. In patients with functionally limiting claudication and an inadequate re- | | |
| 2a | B-R | GDMT (including structured exercise), revascularization is a reasonable | | |
| | | option to improve walking function and QOL. | | |
| 3: No Benefit | C-EO | 3. In patients with claudication who have had an adequate clinical respons | | |
| | | GDMT (including structured exercise), revascularization is not recomm | | |







Revascularization for Claudication (con't.)

| Revascula | Revascularization for Claudication: Aortoiliac Disease and Femoropopliteal Disease (Excluding Femoral Artery Disease) | | | | |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | A | 4. In patients with functionally limiting claudication and hemodynamically significant aortoiliac or femoropopliteal disease with inadequate respon GDMT (including structured exercise), endovascular revascularization to improve walking performance and QOL. | | | |
| 2a | B-NR | 5. In patients with functionally limiting claudication and hemodynamically significant aortoiliac or femoropopliteal disease with inadequate respon GDMT (including structured exercise), surgical revascularization is rea perioperative risk is acceptable and technical factors suggest advantage endovascular approaches. | | | |



g Common

y

ise to

is effective

y

ise to

asonable if

es over



Revascularization for Claudication (con't.)

| Revascularization for Claudication: Common Femoral Artery Disease | | | | |
|---|-----|---|--|--|
| 2a | B-R | 6. In patients with functionally limiting claudication and hemodynamical significant common femoral artery disease with inadequate response to (including structured exercise), surgical endarterectomy is reasonable, if endovascular approaches adversely affect profunda femoris artery p | | |
| 2b | B-R | 7. In patients with functionally limiting claudication and hemodynamical significant common femoral artery disease with inadequate response to (including structured exercise), endovascular approaches may be consi those at high risk for surgical revascularization and/or if anatomical fa favorable (ie, no adverse effect on profunda femoris artery pathways). | | |







Revascularization for Claudication (con't.)

| Revascularization for Claudication: Infrapopliteal Disease | | | | |
|--|------|---|--|--|
| | | 8. In patients with functionally limiting claudication and isolated | | |
| 24 | C-LD | hemodynamically significant infrapopliteal disease with inadequate | | |
| 26 | | response to GDMT (including structured exercise), the effectiveness | | |
| | | endovascular revascularization is unknown. | | |
| | | 9. In patients with functionally limiting claudication and isolated | | |
| 2b | C-LD | hemodynamically significant infrapopliteal disease with inadequate | | |
| | | response to GDMT (including structured exercise), the effectiveness | | |
| | | surgical revascularization is unknown. | | |







Conduit for Surgical Revascularization for Femoropopliteal Disease

| Recor | Recommendation for Conduit for Surgical Revascularization for Femoropopliteal Disea | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Re | eferenced | studies that support the recommendation are summarized in the Online Data | | | |
| | | Supplement. | | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendation | | | |
| 1 | A | 1. In patients who are undergoing surgical revascularization for functionally limiting claudication and hemodynamically significant femoropopliteal disease, bypass to the popliteal artery with autogeno vein is recommended in preference to prosthetic graft material. | | | |







Management of CLTI







Figure 6. Components of Care for CLTI.

CLTI indicates chronic limb-threatening ischemia.

Multispecialty care team



Revascularization (endovascular, surgical, hybrid)

Wound care and management of infection

Pressure offloading

Selective amputation (most distal level possible) Antiplatelet and antithrombotic therapy and cardiovascular risk reduction





Figure 7. Algorithm for Management of CLTI.

Colors correspond to Table 3.

CLTI indicates chronic limbthreatening ischemia, GDMT, guideline-directed management and therapy; PAD, peripheral artery disease; and QOL, quality of life.







Team-Based Care for CLTI

| | Recommendation for Team-Based Care for CLTI | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|
| Ref | Referenced studies that support the recommendation are summarized in the Online Data | | | | |
| | | Supplement. | | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendation | | | |
| 1 | B-NR | 1. In patients with CLTI, a multispecialty care team should evaluate and provide comprehensive care with goals of complete wound healing, minimizing tissue loss, and preservation of ambulatory status. | | | |







Table 15. Multispecialty Care Team for PAD

A team of professionals representing different specialties and disciplines to assist in the evaluation and management of the patient with PAD. For the care of patients who also have CLTI, the team should include individuals who are skilled in endovascular revascularization, surgical revascularization, wound-healing therapies and foot surgery, and medical evaluation and care.

Interdisciplinary care team members may include:

- Vascular medical and surgical specialists (ie, vascular medicine, vascular surgery, vascular interventional radiology, interventional cardiology)
- Advance Practice Provider (APP) Nurse Practitioners/Physician Assistants
- Nurses
- Podiatrists, orthopedic surgeons, or both
- Wound care specialists
- Endocrinologists
- Internal medicine specialists
- Infectious disease specialists
- Diagnostic radiologists and other vascular imaging specialists
- Pharmacists
- Physical medicine and rehabilitation clinicians
- Social workers
- Clinical exercise physiologists
- Physical and occupational therapists
- Nutritionists and dieticians

Patients and family members (collaborate with multispecialty care team)

CLTI indicates chronic limb-threatening ischemia; and PAD, peripheral artery disease.



am



Table 16. Factors That May Influence Revascularization Strategy for CLTI

| Factors That May Influence Optimal Revascu | Ilarization Clinical Examples (Other Factors Be |
|--|---|
| Modality | |
| Anatomy | Strategy for current revascularization c |
| | failed previous revascularization p |
| | endovascular, or both) |
| | Anatomic characteristics that may favo |
| | revascularization include: |
| | • lesions involving both the common |
| | origin of the profunda femoris arter |
| | • multilevel chronic total occlusions |
| | • lesions in which endovascular treat |
| | impact future surgical bypass option |
| | • lesions that are long segment, invol |
| | popliteal and infrapopliteal arteries |

CKD indicates chronic kidney disease; and CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia.



eing Equal)

- onsiders history of procedures (surgical,
- or surgical
- femoral artery and
- ment would adversely
- ns
- lving the below-knee



Table 16. Factors That May Influence Revascularization Strategy for CLTI (con't.)

| Factors That May Influence Optimal Revascularization Modality | Clinical Examples (Other Factors Being |
|--|---|
| Available conduit | Absence of suitable autogenous vein (eg, d harvest for coronary artery bypass surger endovascular revascularization. |
| Patient comorbidities | High estimated perioperative risk (eg, coro ischemia, cardiomyopathy and heart fail lung disease, CKD, and frailty) may fave endovascular revascularization. |
| Patient preferences | Patient preference for 1 revascularization n (surgical or endovascular) over the other participating in shared decision-making. |

CKD indicates chronic kidney disease; and CLTI, chronic limb-threatening ischemia.



Equal) lue to previous ry) may favor nary ure, severe or nodality r, after



Recommendations for Revascularization for CLTI

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|---|
| | | Revascularization Goals for CLTI |
| 1 | B-R | 1. In patients with CLTI, surgical, endovascular, or hybrid revascularization techniques are recommended, when fea to minimize tissue loss, heal wounds, relieve pain, and pr functional limb. |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 2. In patients with CLTI, an evaluation for revascularizatio options by a multispecialty care team is recommended be amputation (Table 15). |







Revascularization for CLTI (con't.)

| | Revascularization Strategy for CLTI | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 3. In patients undergoing surgical revascularization for CL' | | | |
| 1 | Α | should be constructed with autogenous vein if available. | | | |
| 1 | B-R | 4. In patients with CLTI due to infrainguinal disease, anato available conduit, patient comorbidities, and patient pref should be considered in selecting the optimal first revascularization strategy (surgical bypass or endovascul revascularization) (Table 16). | | | |







Revascularization for CLTI (con't.)

| | | 5. In patients with CLTI who are candidates for surgical by |
|----|------|---|
| 1 | B-R | and endovascular revascularization, ultrasound mappin |
| | | great saphenous vein is recommended. |
| | | 6. In patients with CLTI for whom a surgical approach is s |
| 2a | B-NR | and a suitable autogenous vein is unavailable, alternativ |
| | | conduits such as prosthetic or cadaveric grafts can be ef |
| | | for bypass to the popliteal and tibial arteries. |
| 2a | | 7. In patients with CLTI and nonhealing wounds or gangre |
| | B-NR | revascularization in a manner that achieves in-line blood |
| | | maximizes perfusion to the wound bed can be beneficial |
| | | |







Pressure Offloading for CLTI

Recommendations for Pressure Offloading for CLTI

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|--|
| 1 | A | 1. Patients with CLTI and diabetic foot ulcers should receive pressure offlow when possible, to promote tissue growth and wound healing. |
| 1 | B-R | 2. Patients with PAD and previous diabetic foot ulcers should be referred f customized footwear that accommodates, protects, and fits the shape of feet. |
| 2b | С-ЕО | 3. Patients with CLTI and foot ulcers who do not have diabetes may be confor pressure offloading to promote tissue growth and wound healing. |







Wound Care and Management of Infection for CLTI

| Recommendations for Wound Care and Management of Infection for CLTI | | |
|---|------|---|
| Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data | | |
| Supplement. | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
| 1 | B-NR | 1. In patients with CLTI, prompt management of foot infection with |
| | | antibiotics, debridement, and other surgical management is |
| | | recommended. |
| 1 | C-LD | 2. In patients with CLTI with nonhealing wounds, wound care should be |
| | | provided to optimize the wound-healing environment after |
| | | revascularization with the goal of complete wound healing. |
| 2b | B-NR | 3. In patients with CLTI with nonhealing diabetic foot ulcers, hyperbaric |
| | | oxygen therapy may be considered to assist in wound healing after |
| | | revascularization. |







Table 17. Components of Wound Care for Patients With CLTI

- Revascularization for adequate perfusion (see Section 10.2, "Revascularization for CLTI")
- Debridement of nonviable tissue
- Management of infection, inflammation, or both
- Pressure offloading, when appropriate (see Section 10.3.1, "Pressure Offloading for CLTI")
- Maintaining conducive wound-healing environment (ie, local wound care, dressings) (see Section 10.3.2, "Wound Care and Management of Infection for CLTI")
- Pain control
- Medical optimization of host factors (eg, smoking cessation, glycemic control) (see Section 5, "Medical Therapy and Preventive Footcare for Patients with PAD")
- Optimization of tissue growth
- Control of edema






Approach to the "No Option" Patient With CLTI

Recommendations for Approach to the "No Option" Patient With CLTI

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|--|
| 2b | B-R | 1. In patients with CLTI for whom revascularization is not an option a lack of outflow to the foot is observed, the usefulness of prostanoids uncertain. |
| 2b | B-NR | 2. In patients with CLTI for whom revascularization is not an option, arterial intermittent pneumatic compression devices may be conside augment wound healing or ameliorate ischemic rest pain. |
| 2b | B-NR | 3. In patients with CLTI for whom arterial revascularization is not an option and a lack of outflow to the foot is observed, venous arterializ may be considered for limb preservation. |







Anatomic Classification of the No-Option Patient With CLTI

| Туре | Conventional | No or Poor | Description |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Revascularizati | Option | |
| | on Options | | |
| I. Desert foot | No | No option | No patent pedal vessels |
| pedal | | | Should be staged with the WIfI and |
| anatomy | | | GLASS staging classifications |
| | | | (including pedal modifier) |
| II. Inadequate | No | No option | Patent pedal target without adequat |
| venous | | | venous conduit for bypass |
| conduit | | | No endovascular options |
| III. Extensive | Yes | Poor option | Tissue loss with exposure of vital |
| tissue loss | | | structures precluding limb salvag |
| | | | of a functional foot |







Amputation for CLTI

Recommendations for Amputation for CLTI

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|---|
| 1 | B-NR | 1. In patients with CLTI who require amputation, evaluation should be performed by a multispecialty care team (Table 15) to assess for the mo distal level of amputation that facilitates healing and provides maximal functional ability. |
| 1 | C-EO | 2. In patients with CLTI, primary amputation is indicated when life over the prevailing consideration and clinical factors suggest the threatened be the cause of the patient's instability (eg, ischemia, metabolic derange or advanced infection). |







Amputation for CLTI (con't.)

| 1 | C-EO | 3. In patients with CLTI, a patient-centered approach using objective classification of the threatened limb, patient risk, and anatomic patter of disease combined with patient and family goals is recommended to identify those patients in whom primary amputation or palliative management is appropriate. |
|----|------|---|
| 1 | С-ЕО | 4. In patients with CLTI undergoing minor amputation (ie, inframalleo level), a customized program of follow-up care that can include local wound care, pressure offloading, serial evaluation of foot biomechan and use of therapeutic footwear is recommended to prevent wound recurrence. |
| 2a | С-ЕО | 5. For patients with CLTI, retrospective assessment of institutional outcomes (including amputation) with objective limb threat classifica tools can be useful for quality improvement. |







Table 19. Major Factors Influencing QOL Among Amputees

| Patient factors |
|---|
| Higher QOL |
| Walking with prosthesis |
| • Above knee (versus below knee) amputation |
| • Female sex (especially if age <60 y) |
| Living at home |
| Lower QOL |
| • Age >65 y |
| Presence of diabetes |
| Isolation (being homebound) |
| Professional-controlled factors |
| • Timing of amputation |
| Informed decision making |
| Postamputation support |

QOL indicates quality of life.





Acute Limb Ischemia







Unfractionated heparin unless contraindicated

Assess for underlying cause (2a)

(2a)

(3: Harm)





Initial Clinical Evaluation and Diagnostic Approach to ALI

Recommendations for the Initial Clinical Evaluation and Diagnostic Approach to ALI

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|--|
| 1 | C-EO | 1. Patients with ALI should be evaluated on an emergency basis by a clin sufficient experience to assess limb viability and implement appropria |
| 1 | C-LD | therapy. In patients with suspected ALI, the initial clinical evaluation should ra assess limb viability and potential for salvage and can be achieved with noninvasive imaging (ie. duplex ultrasound. CTA. or MRA). |
| 2b | C-EO | 3. In patients with ALI who have a complicated history of revascularizat procedures, it may be reasonable to obtain noninvasive imaging (ie, du ultrasound, CTA, or MRA) before deciding to proceed with revascular |







Revascularization for ALI

Recommendations for Revascularization for ALI

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations |
|-----|------|---|
| 1 | A | 1. In patients with ALI and a salvageable limb, revascularization (endovascular or surgical, including catheter-directed thrombolysis) indicated to prevent amputation. |
| 2a | C-EO | 2. In patients with ALI and a salvageable limb who are treated with cat directed thrombolysis, adjunctive revascularization (ie, endovascular surgical) procedures can be useful. |







Revascularization for ALI (con't.)

| 2b | C-LD | 3. In patients presenting with ALI from chemotherapeutic or prothron viral states, it may be reasonable to take a more deliberate planning strategy before engaging in a definitive revascularization or medical treatment plan. |
|---------|------|---|
| 3: Harm | С-ЕО | 4. In patients with ALI with a nonsalvageable limb, revascularization on nonviable tissue should not be performed. |







Adjunctive Therapies to Minimize Tissue Loss in ALI

| Recommendations for Adjunctive Therapies to Minimize Tissue Loss in ALI Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Suppl | | | | |
|---|------|--|--|--|
| | | Recommendations | | |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 1. Patients with ALI should be monitored and treated for compartment syn with fasciotomy after revascularization (endovascular or surgical, includ catheter-directed thrombolysis) to prevent the sequelae of reperfusion in need for amputation. | | |
| 2a | B-NR | 2. In patients with ALI with a threatened but salvageable limb (ie, category IIb), prophylactic fasciotomy is reasonable based on the clinical findings | | |
| 2a | С-ЕО | 3. In patients with ALI and prolonged ischemia in whom revascularization (endovascular or surgical, including catheter-directed thrombolysis) is p concurrent and early amputation can be beneficial to avoid the morbidit reperfusion. | | |

oerformed, ty of

y IIa or s.^{1,2}

ndrome ding njury and

ement.





Anticoagulation for ALI

| | | Recommendation for Anticoagulation for ALI |
|-----|------|--|
| | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendation |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 1. In patients with ALI, regardless of cause or anatomic level of occlusion systemic anticoagulation with unfractionated heparin should be administered on diagnosis unless contraindicated. |







Diagnostic Evaluation for the Cause of ALI

| | Recommendations for Diagnostic Evaluation for the Cause of ALI | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | |
| COR | LOE | Recommendations | | |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 1. In patients with ALI, a comprehensive medical history and physical examination should be performed to determine the cause of thrombosis or embolization. | | |
| 2a | C-LD | 2. In patients with ALI, testing for a cardiovascular cause of thromboembolism can be useful. | | |







Table 20. Underlying Causes of ALI

Underlying PAD with acute thrombosis

- Thrombosis at sites of arterial stenosis
- Artery to artery embolization •
- Thrombosis of previous bypass grafts
- Arterial stent thrombosis

Cardiac embolization

- Atrial fibrillation (ie, left atrial/appendage thrombus)
- Other intracardiac thrombus (eg, left ventricular thrombus due to \bullet cardiomyopathy)
- Infective endocarditis •
- Valvular heart disease (eg, mitral stenosis) •
- Intracardiac shunt including paradoxical embolization across a patent foramen ovale

Iatrogenic/access site-related thrombosis (eg, postfemoral access for catheterization)

Aortic or arterial dissection

Arterial trauma

Arterial aneurysm-related thromboembolism (eg, popliteal artery)



ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; and PAD, peripheral artery disease.



Table 20. Underlying Causes of ALI (con't.)

Hypercoagulable states

- Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome
- Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia
- Cancer-associated arterial thrombosis
- Others

Cancer therapy-associated thrombosis

- Platinum-based chemotherapy
- Tyrosine kinase inhibitors
- Others

Other systemic proinflammatory states

- Vasculitis
- Sepsis
- Viral illness, including COVID-19
- Other infectious processes

Popliteal artery entrapment syndrome

ALI indicates acute limb ischemia; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; and PAD, peripheral artery disease.





Longitudinal Follow-Up of PAD







Longitudinal Follow-Up of PAD

Recommendations for Longitudinal Follow-Up of PAD

Referenced studies that support the recommendations are summarized in the Online Data Supplement.

| COR | LOE | Recommendations | | |
|---------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| | | General Principles | | |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 1. In patients with PAD, with or without revascularization, longitudinal with routine clinical evaluation, including assessment of limb symptor functional status, lower extremity pulse and foot assessment, and prog risk factor management is recommended. | | |
| 1 | С-ЕО | 2. In patients with PAD, coordination of care among clinicians to improve management of PAD and comorbid conditions and to optimize patient is recommended. | | |
| Functional Status and QOL | | | | |
| 1 | B-NR | 3. In patients with PAD, with or without revascularization, periodic asse functional status as well as overall health-related QOL as a componen longitudinal follow-up is recommended. | | |







Longitudinal Follow-Up of PAD (con't.)

| | | Medical Therapy | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Α | 4. In patients with PAD, long-term use of GDMT to prevent MACE and recommended. | | | | |
| Postrevascularization Follow-Up | | | | | | |
| 1 | C-LD C-LD | In patients with PAD who have undergone lower extremity revascula (ie, surgical and/or endovascular), longitudinal follow-up that include clinical evaluation of lower extremity symptoms and pulse and foot as is recommended. In patients with PAD who have undergone lower extremity revascula (ie, surgical, endovascular, or both) with new lower extremity signs of symptoms, ABI and arterial duplex ultrasound is recommended. | | | | |
| 2a | B-R | 7. In patients with PAD who have undergone infrainguinal, autogenous bypass graft(s) without new lower extremity signs or symptoms, it is reasonable to perform ABI and arterial duplex ultrasound surveillan the first 1 to 3 months postprocedure, then repeat at 6 and 12 months annually. | | | | |



d MALE is arization es periodic assessment arization or 20

ice within s, and then



Longitudinal Follow-Up of PAD (con't.)

| 2 a | C-LD | 8. In patients with PAD who have undergone endovascular procedu without new lower extremity signs or symptoms, it is reasonable perform ABI and arterial duplex ultrasound surveillance within 1 to 3 months postprocedure, then repeat at 6 and 12 months, an annually. |
|------------|------|--|
| 2b | B-NR | 9. In patients with PAD who have undergone infrainguinal, prosthe bypass graft(s) without new lower extremity signs or symptoms, effectiveness of ABI and arterial duplex ultrasound surveillance uncertain. |
| | | Telehealth |
| 2 a | C-LD | 10. For patients with PAD, telehealth can be an alternative mode for vascular evaluation and management and longitudinal follow-up use of these visits should be consistent with the urgency of preser symptoms. |







Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Meaning/Phrase |
|--------------|---|
| 6MWT | 6-minute walk test |
| ABI | ankle-brachial index |
| ACE | angiotensin-converting enzyme |
| ALI | acute limb ischemia |
| CAD | coronary artery disease |
| CKD | chronic kidney disease |
| CLTI | chronic limb-threatening ischemia |
| COVID-19 | coronavirus disease 2019 |
| СТА | computed tomography angiography |
| CVD | cardiovascular disease |
| ESKD | end-stage kidney disease |
| GDMT | guideline-directed management and therapy |
| LDL-C | low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol |





Abbreviations (con't.)

| MACE | major adverse cardiovascular events |
|-------------------|---|
| MALE | major adverse limb events |
| MI | myocardial infarction |
| MRA | magnetic resonance angiography |
| NPWT | negative pressure wound therapy |
| PAD | peripheral artery disease |
| РТА | percutaneous transluminal angioplasty |
| PVR | pulse volume recording |
| QOL | quality of life |
| RCT | randomized controlled trial |
| SARS-CoV-2 | severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 |
| SET | supervised exercise therapy |
| SBP | systolic blood pressure |
| SPP | skin perfusion pressure |
| TBI | toe-brachial index |
| TcPO ₂ | transcutaneous oxygen pressure |
| WIfI | wound, ischemia, and foot infection |

